


School Psychology Doctoral Program

Program Information and Student Handbook

2013-2014



NOTES

Table of Contents

Program Overview	5
Prerequisites	6
Tuition.....	6
Faculty.....	7
Students.....	8
Campus Community	9
Program Philosophy and Model Student Competencies/ Learning Activities/ Assessment/ Program Objectives.....	10
Doctoral Program Requirements/Suggested Schedule	16
Description of Specialized Courses and Program Requirements	20
School Psychology Preliminary Qualifying Exam for Doctoral Candidates.....	21
Thesis/Dissertation Defense Procedures.....	22
Clinic and Field Practicum Requirements	23
School Psychology Internship.....	24
PRAXIS Exam.....	26
Portfolio Requirements	26
Time Limits for Program Completion	26
Licensure.....	28
Ethics.....	28
Evaluation of Student Progress/Rights to Appeal.....	29
Appendices.....	32
Appendix A: Supervisory Committee Guidelines	
Appendix B: Comprehensive Evaluation of Student Trainee Competence in Professional Psychology Programs Competency checklists Practicum, Internship, Entry Level Practice	
Appendix C: Leave of Absence Request Petition for Course Substitution Doctoral Program Planning Worksheet Research funding application	
Appendix D: Professional/Academic Misconduct Procedures	
Appendix E: Faculty Profiles and Research Interests	
Appendix F: Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct for Psychologists	
Appendix G: Preparing Manuscripts for Publication in Psychology Journals: A Guide for New Authors	
Appendix H: Portfolio checklist	

Note: Additional important information regarding admissions, tuition, financial assistance, graduate school requirements (e.g., dissertation, graduation), is available in the *University of Utah General Catalog* at (<http://www.ugs.utah.edu/catalog/>).

The School Psychology Program at the University of Utah is accredited by the American Psychological Association: *Office of Program Consultation and Accreditation, American*

Psychological Association, 750 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20002-4242. (T) 202-336-5979; (F) 202-336-5978; (<http://www.apa.org/ed/accreditation/>; apaaccred@apa.org).

Addendum 8/05/2013

Please be advised that Program Requirements for the Doctoral (PhD) Program are regularly reviewed by the Training Director and faculty in School Psychology for compliance with APA Accreditation Standards. They may be adjusted to include additional content and/or course requirements to better meet accreditation standards. Annual changes are made by 8/01/2013. Students entering the doctoral program in 8/2013 will be expected to follow the most current program requirements.

**Daniel Olympia, PhD
Associate Professor/Training Director
School Psychology Program**

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY
University of Utah
Graduate Programs in School Psychology
Fall 2013 – Summer 2014

The Department of Educational Psychology provides masters/specialist level and doctoral training programs in school psychology (<http://ed-psych.utah.edu/school-psych/>). The graduate programs in school psychology are integrated and organized programs of professional psychology. Students who graduate from the program are skilled in a broad range of assessment practices and empirically supported interventions. The program has particular emphasis on autism specialty skills and evidence based cognitive and behavioral interventions for school-age children and their families.

The Department of Educational Psychology, part of the College of Education, is characterized by an emphasis on the application of behavioral sciences to educational and psychological processes. Within the Department are three programs: School Psychology; Counseling and Counseling Psychology (CCP Program); and Learning Sciences. The School Psychology Program offers a Ph.D. (APA-accredited) and a Master's degree (MS or MEd) in School Psychology. The CCP Program offers a Ph.D. in Counseling Psychology (APA-accredited), a MEd degree in Professional Counseling, and a MEd degree in School Counseling. The Learning Sciences Program offers a Ph.D. and M.S. in Learning and Cognition, as well as a M.Ed. with an emphasis in Instructional Design and Educational Technology. An M. Stat. in Statistics is also offered through Learning Sciences.

The School Psychology Program provides research and training opportunities through liaisons with many university and community facilities. These include a number of school districts (Salt Lake City, Murray, Granite, Davis, Ogden, Jordan and Canyons), Valley Mental Health System (in Salt Lake City) including the Carmen Pingree School, various clinics and programs at the University of Utah Medical Center, University Neuropsychiatric Institute, The Children's Center, the Department of Educational Psychology's Educational Assessment and Student Support Clinic, and many other community mental health specialty agencies, general and specialty hospitals, and child guidance clinics. Research laboratories currently maintained by department faculty include Applied Psychophysiology, Cognitive Skills Acquisition, Social Skills Training, and Human Memory Laboratory. In addition, the department's Statistics Laboratory and the College of Education Computer Laboratory contain state-of-the-art resources to facilitate research tasks including data analysis and word processing. Two local area networks are maintained by the College of Education, and student study areas and faculty offices are well equipped with personal computers and relevant software.

Doctoral Program: The Ph.D. program in School Psychology is designed to prepare psychologists who will practice in the schools or other educationally related settings and to meet the professional employment demands for: (1) psychologists in psychoeducational research; (2) administrators of pupil services; (3) mental health research specialists in child psychology; (4) psychologists in child treatment agencies, public education settings, hospitals, and private practice; and, (5) professionals in higher education for the preparation of educators and clinicians in psychoeducational services. The doctoral program adheres to the scientist-practitioner model of graduate education in psychology and is approved by the National Association of School Psychologists (NASP) and is accredited by the American Psychological Association (<http://www.apa.org/ed/accreditation/>), Office of Program

Consultation and Accreditation; 750 First Street, NE; Washington, DC 20002-4242; Phone: 202-336-5979/TDD/TTY: 202-336-6123; Fax: 202-336-5978. Integrated didactic and applied courses aid the students' attainment of a knowledge base and the expertise to enhance the professional practice of school psychology through the employment of the scientific method. Major strengths of the program include preparation of practitioners and researchers in the area of interventions, neuropsychology, severe disabilities, and developmental psychopathology and psychology.

The doctorate is the highest degree of educational accomplishment in professional psychology. The level of sophistication in thought and behavior required for the degree is attained in part through full-time study in residence at an institution of doctoral education. To this end, the program requires of each student “a minimum of 3 full-time academic years of graduate study — at least 2 of which must be at the institution from which the doctoral degree is granted and at least 1 year of which must be in full-time residence or the equivalent thereof (APA Guidelines and Principles, 2007).” Students accepted for the doctoral program must complete all requirements for the masters’ degree, except internship hours, before taking the qualifying examination. The doctoral program requires a total of 109 semester hours (including thesis hours but not any prerequisite courses) and completion of a 2,000 hour approved internship in school psychology. NASP standards require that at least 600 hours of any internship experience be completed in a school setting. Students are strongly encouraged to fulfill a 2,000-hour APA-accredited or APPIC approved internship following a 600 hour school-based internship. The Ph.D. program requires at least four academic years of full time study beyond the baccalaureate. The average number of years to completion of the doctoral degree, however, is 6 ½ years.

Beyond formal course work, entering students are encouraged to be involved with ongoing faculty and advanced students’ research endeavors. Support and encouragement for student publications and presentations at national meetings is provided continuously throughout the student's program. This involvement and encouragement in research activities is complemented by course work and experiences designed to allow an early integration of theory and practice.

Prerequisites: General prerequisites for graduate study in the doctoral program include undergraduate and/or previous graduate preparation in psychology and education (special or general education). The application of previous graduate course work to the fulfillment of various requirements is determined by the student's advisor or supervisory committee and training director. Multiple admissions criteria employed in the selection of students include Graduate Record Examination scores, undergraduate or previous graduate course performance, letters of recommendation, past relevant work experience, and when possible, personal interview data. The School Psychology Faculty and the Department of Educational Psychology are committed to practices of affirmative action and equal educational opportunity in admissions decisions (see Diversity section).

Tuition Costs: Tuition costs and fees per semester based on enrollment for the recommended credit load of 15 semester hours for each semester (fall, spring) of the 2013-2014 school year was: \$5204.24 per semester (in-state residents) and \$ 15109.01 per semester (non-resident/out-of-state); this amount includes general student fees and program fees (currently \$53.90 per credit hour).

Residency Policy: For out of state students to establish residency in the state of Utah for tuition purposes (i.e. eligibility for in-state tuition), you must complete 40 hours of coursework/study and meet other requirements imposed by the university. See <http://admissions.utah.edu/residency/> for additional details. Out of state students should track their hours carefully and apply for residency when the minimum number of hours is reached.

Core Faculty: Core Faculty who currently comprise the School Psychology Committee are listed below, along with the institution from which they received their highest degree. Additional information is found in Appendix G. One additional faculty tenure track position is currently vacant with a faculty search underway.

Elaine Clark, Ph.D.	Professor/Dept. Chair	Michigan State University; Brigham Young University
William R. Jenson, Ph.D.	Professor	Utah State University
Daniel Olympia, Ph.D.	Associate Professor/Director	University of Utah
Janiece L. Pampa, Ph.D.	Professor (Clinical)	Michigan State University

Clinical Faculty: Clinical Faculty (non-tenure) assisting in direct student supervision or adjunct teaching services within the program’s clinical training component are:

Melanie Battistone, PhD.	The McGillis School	University of Utah
Julie Bowen, Ph.D.	Canyons School District	University of Utah
Mishelle Carroll, MEd	Granite School District	Univ of No Colo
Julia Connelly, Ph.D.	UNI	University of Utah
Candace Dee, Ph.D.	Canyons School District	University of Utah
Lori Dekeyzer, Ph.D.	Canyons School District	University of Utah
Megan Farley, Ph.D.	University of Utah	University of Utah
Fulvia Franco, Ph.D.	Jordan School District	University of Utah
JoAnn Galloway, PhD.	Canyons School District	University of Utah
Douglas Goldsmith, Ph.D.	The Children’s Center	University of Utah
Abby Gottsegen, Ph.D.	Jordan Schools/Pvt. Practice	Yeshiva University
Lora Tuesday Heathfield, Ph.D.	Canyon School District	University of Oregon
Alicia Hoerner, Ph.D.	Salt Lake School District	University of Utah
Najmeh Hourmanesh, Ph.D.	The Children’s Center	University of Utah
Jenise Jensen, PhD.	Private Practice	University of Utah
James Kahn, Ph.D.	UNI	University of Utah
Wm. McMahan, M.D.	Dept. of Psychiatry	University of Kansas
Amanda Miller, PhD	UNI	Baylor University
Pete Nicholas, Ph.D.	C. B. Pingree School School	University of Utah
Pamela Plant, PhD	Canyons School District	University of Utah
Robert Richardson, PhD	Canyons School District	University of Utah
Cassandra Romine, PhD.	Jordan School District	Texas A&M University
Amy Russell, PsyD.	Canyons School District	UC Santa Barbara
Julien Smith, Ph.D.	Private Practice	University of Utah
Kelly Stout, Ph.D.	Salt Lake City School District	University of Utah
Kristin Swenson, Ph.D.	Univ. of UT; UT Ed Policy Center	University of Utah

Lane Valum, Ph.D.	Canyons School District	University of Utah
Brenda Van Gorder	Granite School District	Utah State University

Additional Contributors

Carol Ballou, Ph.D.	UNI	University of Utah
Laura Brockbank, Ph.D.	UNI	University of Utah
Christine Burns, Ph.D.	UNI	Texas A&M
Karen Malm, Ph.D.	DSPD	University of Utah
Heidi Mathie-Mucha, PhD	USOE	University of Utah
Bruce Poulsen, PhD	Primary Children's Medical Ctr.	Univ of Mass-Amherst
Robin Weiner, Ph.D.	Salt Lake City School District	University of Utah

Students: The typical applicant pool for the school psychology program consists of approximately 40-50 students. From this pool, approximately 8-10 students are accepted each year into either the master's or doctoral program. Several nationalities, foreign countries, and ethnic groups are represented in the school psychology program. The attrition rate is less than 5 percent and is usually attributed to the student's change of career goal or geographic relocation.

For the 2013-2014 academic year, 34 students are enrolled in the doctoral program, with 4 new admissions. Females comprise 79% of the doctoral students currently enrolled, while males are represented in the remaining 21%. For the 2012-2013 academic year, 38 applications were received, and 12 students were offered admission, with 10 new students admitted into the doctoral program (5 new students, 5 internal applicants). GRE composite scores for the currently enrolled class range from 860 to 1400 (Mean Composite = 1102.8). Students representing ethnic or cultural diversity comprise 12% of the current student group. Twenty-three students entered with a bachelor's degree, while 21 students entered with a Master's degree. Undergraduate GPAs ranged from 2.954 to 3.98. Students entering the doctoral program with a Master's degree had graduate GPAs ranging from 3.56 to 4.00.

Additionally, there are 7 Masters students enrolled during the 2012-2013 academic year. Additional information regarding current graduation rates, etc. may be found at (http://www.ed.utah.edu/edps/APA/C-20_disclosure.php).

The full-time course load is considered to be 9 or more semester credit hours (SEM) per semester. Students typically take 15-18 semester hours in their first two years. The program is designed such that students are expected to be involved full-time in their graduate studies. Some students do work part-time in the community. In most cases, these part-time positions are related to the student's graduate program (e.g., public schools and child treatment facilities) and enhance the student's skills, professional maturity, and overall educational goals.

Financial Support: As detailed in the University Bulletin, there are provisions for financial, academic, and personal counseling through the university. The Department of Educational Psychology provides a limited number of graduate assistantships and tuition waivers for school psychology students; however, there is no guarantee that financial support will be provided for all students. For more than a decade, however, a combination of Departmental assistance and grants has

allowed support in the way of stipends and tuition benefits for the majority of first and second year students.

Tuition Benefits: Students who receive funding from or through the University of Utah may be eligible to participate in the Tuition Benefit Program (TBP). Depending on the amount of funding, students could receive 50%, 75% or 100% tuition coverage (exclusive of fees) up to 12 credit hours per semester. All TBP participants must be registered for a minimum 9 credit hours per semester. **Important: You must apply for residency status when you have accumulated 40 semester hours in order to receive tuition benefits.** Other conditions and exclusions also apply. See the TBP Guidelines at <https://gradschool.utah.edu/tbp/guidelines.php> for more information.

New Policy 2011: All current and new students are requested to complete an application annually for consideration in selection for Research/Teaching Assistantships, training grants or other departmentally funded positions. The Application (<http://www.ed.utah.edu/edps/Admissions/index.php>) should be completed by Dec. 15 of the year preceding enrollment.

Students should also be proactive and inquire about possibilities for financial support through other resources and contact the University financial offices (<http://www.sa.utah.edu/finance/>) or the College of Education (<http://education.utah.edu/scholarships/index.php>) for further suggestions (e.g., student loans).

Each student, upon formal admission to the graduate program in school psychology, is assigned an academic advisor who will assist the student in developing his/her course of study. During the second year of the program, the student selects a supervisory committee chairperson and committee members to advise and direct the student's course of study.

Students are evaluated throughout their program through a variety of methods. In addition to formal evaluations such as course grades, the faculty evaluates student progress through feedback from practicum and internship supervisors. An annual faculty review of the student's progress is conducted and each student receives written feedback. Students are required to complete an annual self evaluation, listing courses completed, related program activities (practicum/internship/grant activities), attendance and presentations at local, state and national conferences, publications and other relevant accomplishments. Faculty provide feedback to students regarding professional dispositions, recognition of accomplishments and recommendations for student development. (See later section on Student Evaluation for additional information). Students are also asked to provide written (anonymous) feedback to the faculty regarding the training program on an annual basis. Students are asked to consult various departmental and university publications to ensure that they are aware of all procedures and student responsibilities and rights. This handbook details information about School Psychology Doctoral Program requirements, however, information about the university and graduate school may also be found in various catalogs and on websites (e.g., The University of Utah General Catalog and the Graduate School Handbook are found on their website: <http://www.gradschool.utah.edu/index.php>).

Campus Community: Set in the foothills of the majestic Wasatch Mountains on a 1,500 acre

campus on the eastern edge of Salt Lake City, the University of Utah combines academic excellence and a commitment to research in an atmosphere conducive to graduate study. Over five decades have passed since the first Ph.D. degree was earned, and there are now Doctoral and Master's degree programs in over 90 areas. The University consistently ranks among the top 35 American colleges and universities in funded research. Although it is the oldest state university west of the Missouri River, more than half of the University's buildings have been constructed since 1960. The College of Education is currently undergoing a major building campaign, with new facilities scheduled to come online in the Winter of 2013-2014. As the flagship of Utah's higher education system, the University plays a leading role in fostering the development and preservation of the state's human and natural resources. The University of Utah is accredited by the Northwest Association of Schools and Colleges. More information is available at (<http://infact.utah.edu/>).

A student body of almost 30,000, of whom approximately 6,000 are graduate students, represent all 50 states and over 100 countries. A regular faculty of approximately 2,900 provides the stimulus for learning and achievement and is supplemented by a like number of clinical, adjunct, research, and visiting faculty. Most students choose to live either in graduate student housing or in off campus housing near the University. Assistance in securing either University or off campus housing is provided by University agencies (e.g., Commuter Housing Service). See housing information at: (<http://www.housing.utah.edu/>).

Downtown Salt Lake City--the cultural, commercial and professional center of the Intermountain West--is only minutes from the campus and is easily accessible by public transportation, including rail/bus. The Salt Lake City metropolitan area has a total estimated population of 1,215,692 and offers a great variety of cultural opportunities including symphony, ballet, theater, modern dance, and opera. Visiting performers also are featured regularly at the University's Jon Huntsman Center, red butte Garden and the city's Energy Solutions Arena. In addition to varsity PAC 12 sports at the University, professional and minor league sports teams include baseball, hockey, and basketball teams located in Salt Lake City. Opportunities for part-time jobs and internships are enhanced by the proximity of the campus to the Salt Lake City metropolitan area.

Recreational activities both on and off campus, are an excellent complement to academic requirements. The University has facilities for most sports including tennis, circuit training, weight lifting, indoor running, and swimming. Utah's four-season climate and spectacular natural beauty offer abundant opportunities for hiking, mountain climbing, backpacking, boating, river running, and fishing. Winter recreation is highlighted by some of the best snow and deep-powder skiing in the world with major ski resorts only 45 minutes from campus. There are five national parks in Utah as well as many other recreation and natural areas within reasonable driving distance. It is noteworthy that Salt Lake City hosted the Winter Olympic Games in 2002.

Program Philosophy and Model

The program adheres to a scientist practitioner model which assumes that the effective practice of school psychology is based on knowledge gained from established methods of scientific inquiry.

Emphasis is on the preparation of competent practitioners who are also skilled and dedicated researchers who contribute to the knowledge base in school psychology.

The faculty is committed to a learning environment that has a well-organized and explicit curriculum with clear expectations. There is also a strong commitment to student-faculty interactions that encourage students to identify with the field and grow professionally. In addition, the program is designed to acquaint students with the diversity of theories and practices of school psychology that allow students sufficient intellectual freedom to experiment with different delivery systems and various theoretical bases.

The atmosphere is intended to foster informal student-faculty interaction, critical debate, and respect for theoretical diversity of practice, thus lending itself to a more intense and exciting learning experience. Such a philosophy encourages and reinforces the student's creativity and intellectual risk taking that are fundamental in the development of the professional practice of school psychology.

Commitment to Diversity: School psychologists must be able to recognize when issues of diversity affect the manner and nature of interactions with other people and organizations and must have the ability to modify or adapt their practices in response to those being served. A commitment to understanding and responding to human diversity is articulated throughout the programs philosophy/mission, goals, and objectives and practiced throughout all aspects of the program, including admissions, faculty, and coursework, practicum, and internship experiences. Human diversity is recognized as a strength that is valued and respected.

School psychologists have knowledge of individual differences, abilities, and disabilities as well as potential influences of biological, social, cultural, ethnic, experiential, socioeconomic, gender-related, and linguistic factors in development and learning. Assessment and intervention coursework specific to these areas is required and also infused within specific classes. Applied courses in consultation/supervision, and therapy/counseling also provide students with sensitivity and skills needed to work with individuals of diverse characteristics and to implement strategies selected and/or adapted based on individual characteristics, strengths, and needs.

Competence in all aspects of diversity is not demonstrated solely by the degree of sensitivity to or level of knowledge about a given culture, but rather by the ability to recognize when, where, and how issues of diversity are manifest and operating within the wide variety of activities in which school psychologists engage. Practicum and internship placements are sought in settings that offer opportunities to work with ethnically, economically and racially diverse students. While the Salt Lake City School District is the most diverse school district within the state of Utah, other districts in Utah also have large ethnic, socioeconomic and culturally distinct minority student bodies. Students are required to have practical experiences with diverse populations regardless of the district or agency.

Program Goals, Objectives and Competencies

The goals, objectives and desired competencies of the doctoral program ensure that each student understands, acquires and exhibits appropriate professional and personal characteristics and

dispositions; and attains academic knowledge, and practitioner competencies that fully prepare him/her to work as a professional psychologist, researcher or faculty member.

Personal and Professional Dispositions. Students' personal and professional actions are expected to conform to the ethical standards outlined by the American Psychological Association and the National Association of School Psychologists; and, in addition, students' professional activities are expected to be characterized by:

- A. A democratic attitude that respects the worth, uniqueness, and potential for growth and development of all individuals.
- B. Personal stability, ethical behavior, and respect for the confidentiality of privileged information.
- C. Maintaining a professional manner in which responsibilities are discharged in a cooperative and conscientious fashion.
- D. Productive work habits that display motivation, competence, independence, and adaptability.
- E. Appreciation and commitment to continuing professional growth to include involvement in professional associations for school psychologists.

Program Goals, Objectives and Competencies: The program maintains a set of five goals which are supported by appropriate objectives and competencies. These goals, objectives and competencies form the basis for professional practice in school psychology. Competencies are established and promoted through coursework, supervised practicum and internship experiences.

Goal 1: Assessment - Students will understand and apply foundational knowledge and clinical skill in the identification and diagnostic study of individual students in a non-biased, reliable and valid manner.

Goal 2: Intervention - Students will acquire knowledge and skill in evidence-based individual, group, and school level remediation strategies, interventions, and psychoeducational program planning.

Goal 3: Consultation - Students will demonstrate knowledge of consultation and supervision models and skills to effectively serve as consultants to teachers, parents and other educational personnel on matters related to the education and mental health of children and adolescents to insure the most appropriate education program.

Goal 4: Research and Inquiry - Students will be able to review, apply, conceptualize/design and carry out research that enhances the knowledge base and the professional practice of school psychology.

Goal 5: Ethical, Social and Professionally Responsible Practice - Students will demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of state, federal and setting-specific laws and policies; ethical and practice standards of APA and NASP; and use appropriate decision making strategies in training and professional contexts.

The doctoral program has a strong theoretical base in learning, individual differences, human development, research methods and statistics. This base is enhanced by the professional courses that emphasize and integrate familiarity with cultural, ethnic and human

diversity, educational settings, and the development and application of measurement skills in cognitive, learning, and socio-emotional domains. In addition, students receive applied training during pre-practicum, practicum and internship experiences, which are integrated with didactic courses throughout the program. Within this framework, students develop professional expertise by consulting and collaborating with parents, teachers, supervising psychologists, school psychologists and other non-school based community professionals.

Program objectives are consistent with the scientist-practitioner model as specific applied areas of professional practice interact with, and draw from, a scientific knowledge base that is appropriate to the specialty area of school psychology. To achieve the scientist-practitioner orientation, specific program objectives ensure that students will be competent to perform a range of professional functions. Course work is integrated with practicum experiences, which begin the first year of training and lead to more advanced coursework and applied experiences.

The Program training model is articulated through a planned sequence of coursework, research experiences, and practicum with a strong focus on the integration of science and practice of psychology. The curriculum is sequential, cumulative, and graded in complexity. Students entering with an undergraduate degree are expected to follow the course and practica sequence during their first four years in the program. Students entering with advanced graduate degrees are required to submit all previous graduate coursework and syllabi for a formal review by appropriate faculty and the DOT for approval of any course substitutions (SP Program Manual, Appendix C, pp. 124-125).

Program Goals, Objectives and Competencies

Program Goal #1: Assessment - Students will understand and apply foundational knowledge and clinical skill in identification and diagnostic study of individual students in a non-biased, reliable and valid manner across settings and diverse groups.
Objectives for Goal #1: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Students will demonstrate the ability to define strengths, needs, and problem areas of clients across multiple disabilities, diverse settings, and contexts using a variety of assessment procedures. 2. Students will demonstrate the ability to interpret psychological results, make inferences about assessment data, develop recommendations based upon psychological data, and write psychological reports.
Competencies Expected for these Objectives: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Students are able conduct and interpret screening data, progress monitoring data, and response to interventions. b. Students are able to assess associated systems (e.g., instructional processes, school climate, home environments, and cultural, ethnic and linguistic diversity). c. Students are able to conduct observations, interviews, standardized multi-informant rating scales, and other evidence based assessments. d. Students are able to assess and diagnose intellectual disabilities, learning disabilities, internalizing and externalizing disorders, attention deficit hyperactivity disorders, autism spectrum disorders, and other exceptionalities. e. Students are able to conduct and interpret academic and behavioral assessment data in association with multi-tiered systems of support models in schools.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> f. Students are able to conduct and interpret functional behavioral assessments and analyses. g. Students are able to administer and interpret standardized measures of cognitive processes, memory, processing speed, executive functions, attention, learning, academics, phonological processing, visual-motor functioning, auditory perception, and motor proficiency. h. Students are able to conceptualize and assist in organizational assessments and evaluations for educational systems.
<p>How Outcomes are Measured and Minimum Thresholds for Achievement for these Objectives/Competencies: Students must complete each didactic course with a minimum grade of B (letter grade) or Credit (Credit-No Credit) for applied/field experiences at end of each semester. Students must also receive adequate or better ratings on appropriate clinic, field and internship ratings.</p>
<p>Program Goal #2: Intervention - Students will acquire knowledge and skill in evidence-based individual, group, and school level remediation strategies, interventions, and psychoeducational program planning across diverse settings and groups.</p>
<p>Objectives for Goal #2:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Students will demonstrate knowledge and skill in evidence-based behavioral/cognitive-behavioral interventions and remediation strategies on an individual, group, and school level. 2. Students will develop knowledge and skill in evidence-based academic interventions and remediation strategies on an individual, group, and school level. 3. Students will demonstrate knowledge and skill in systems-wide prevention and intervention, and crisis intervention.
<p>Competencies Expected for these Objectives:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Students are able to provide assistance to school personnel in designing and evaluating remediation curricula to help student's master basic academic skills and enhance adaptive behavior, social skills, functional life skills, and vocational orientation skills. b. Students are able to utilize formative assessment, data analysis, and experimental design to inform intervention decision making and fidelity of intervention implementation. c. Students are able to design, implement, and evaluate programs in the preparation for, prevention of, and response to crises in education-related settings.
<p>How Outcomes are Measured and Minimum Thresholds for Achievement for these Objectives/Competencies: Students must complete each didactic course with a minimum grade of B (letter grade) or Credit (Credit-No Credit) for applied/field experiences at end of each semester. Students must also receive adequate or better ratings on appropriate clinic, field and internship ratings forms.</p>
<p>Program Goal #3: Consultation - Students will demonstrate knowledge of consultation and supervision models and skills to effectively serve as consultants to teachers, parents and other educational personnel on matters related to the education and mental health of</p>

<p>children and adolescents to insure the most appropriate educational program for them.</p> <p>Objectives for Goal #3:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Students will demonstrate appropriate communication and listening skills when consulting with professionals and parents. 2. Students will demonstrate knowledge and ability to use appropriate consultation and supervision techniques for prevention and intervention planning, professional development, progress monitoring, and program evaluation 3. Students will effectively employ culturally and ethnically sensitive consultation and supervision with teachers, parents, and/or other mental health professionals.
<p>Competencies Expected for these Objectives:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Students understand stages of professional practice and development: i.e. novice, advanced beginner, competent, proficient, and expert. b. Students are able to collaborate with parents, general educators, and special educators to develop and evaluate educational programs and evidence based interventions at the school, group, or individual level. c. Students are able to participate in multidisciplinary teams that use data-based decision making to plan individual, group, and school-wide prevention and intervention programming. d. Students are able to use consultation and collaboration with community-based service providers and organizations to coordinate educational, health, and mental health services for diverse children, youth, and their families. e. Students are able to assist in development, coordination, and delivery of professional development activities to enhance the effectiveness of others. f. Students are able to participate in supervision of assessment and direct and indirect interventions in accordance with their own developmental level to promote the development of effective assessment and intervention decision making. g. Students are able to recognize individual biases and limitations using culturally competent practices and provide adjustment of communication and consultative techniques based on those needs. h. Students are able to provide support to decision makers within organizations in research design and program evaluation.
<p>How Outcomes are Measured and Minimum Thresholds for Achievement for these Objectives/Competencies: Students must complete each didactic course with a minimum grade of B (letter grade) or Credit (Credit-No Credit) for applied/field experiences at end of each semester. Students must also receive adequate or better ratings on appropriate field prac/internship ratings.</p>
<p>Program Goal #4: Research and Inquiry – Students will be able to review, apply, conceptualize/design and carry out research that enhances the knowledge base and the professional practice of school psychology.</p>
<p>Objectives for Goal #4:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Students will demonstrate knowledge and skill completing research on selected topics associated with typical and atypical development, risk and protective factors in child development, efficacy and effectiveness of psychological and behavioral health

<p>interventions and effective instructional practices.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Students will demonstrate knowledge and skill needed to become consumers of research and advocates for research/evidence based practice. 3. Students will demonstrate competency in preparing research manuscripts for dissertations, professional presentations and publications.
<p>Competencies Expected for these Objectives:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Students are able to conceptualize, conduct, interpret and apply research to support schools at all levels and inform data-based decision making. b. Students are able to understand and apply concepts of treatment integrity/fidelity, reliability, validity, research design and methods to study of various problems that impact student learning and social functioning in schools, communities and families. c. Students are able to conduct clinical studies concerning implementation of interventions, including early screening and identification of children and youth at high risk for disabilities. d. Students are able to understand, integrate, and communicate research to others to foster effective practices. e. Students provide evidence based feedback on program quality and effectiveness.
<p>How Outcomes are Measured and Minimum Thresholds for Achievement for these Objectives/Competencies: Students must complete each didactic course with a minimum grade of B (letter grade) or Credit (Credit-No Credit) for applied/field experiences at end of each semester. Students must also receive adequate or better ratings on appropriate clinic, field and internship rating items. Students must demonstrate research based of written and oral Qualifying Exam by majority vote of committee; Students must pass oral defense of dissertation by majority vote of committee; Presentations at professional conferences (no minimum required)</p>
<p>Program Goal #5: Ethical, Social and Professionally Responsible Practice - Students will demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of state, federal and setting-specific laws and policies; ethical and practice standards of APA and NASP; and use appropriate decision making strategies in training and professional contexts.</p>
<p>Objectives for Goal 5</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Students will demonstrate understanding and practice of legal, ethical, and professional practice/decision-making, including self-evaluation and accountability. 2. Students will demonstrate culturally responsive practice and advocacy for diversity and social justice. 3. Students will demonstrate advocacy for school psychology services.
<p>Competencies Expected for these Objectives:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Students are able to apply professional, systematic decision-making based on a comprehensive foundation of current ethical, legal, and professional standards of school psychology. b. Students are able to implement evidence-based practices in contexts of diverse individual, family, school, and community characteristics. c. Students are able to advocate for recognition of diversity, individual differences, backgrounds, strengths, and needs of children and families, in schools and other

settings.

- d. Students are able to collaborate and communicate with parents, teachers, administrators, colleagues, policymakers, and others to protect child and family rights.**
- e. Students are able to advocate for laws and policies at all levels that protect the well-being of all children and families.**
- f. Students are able to apply technology and information resources to enhance the quality of services for children and protect confidentiality of information regarding children, families, professionals, and schools**
- g. Students are able to engage in self-assessment of one's own professional competence and evaluation of the outcomes of these services.**
- h. Students are able to promote recognition of school psychologists' professional roles.**

How Outcomes are Measured and Minimum Thresholds for Achievement for these Objectives/Competencies: Students must complete didactic courses with a minimum grade of B (letter grade) or Credit (Credit-No Credit) for applied/field experiences at end of each semester. Students must also receive adequate or better ratings on appropriate clinic, field and internship ratings.

Program of Study: In the following sections, recommended programs of study are provided for the doctoral degree program. Required courses are listed along with optional classes which students often take to develop more specialized skills in a specific area of practice or research. Additionally, the program is fortunate to have several training grants which require enrollment in specific seminars based on the focus of each training grant. Students who accept funding from these sources will be expected to enroll in required seminars and related coursework (i.e. EDPS Single Subject Design or EDPS substance Abuse) as a condition of their participation in the training grant.

DOCTORAL PROGRAM IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY COURSE REQUIREMENTS

The curriculum is designed so that students can complete the doctoral program requirements after approximately five years of graduate study beyond the baccalaureate degree. The five years includes a full year of internship (i.e., a 2000 clock-hour internship).

Core Foundation Courses

A. Scientific and Professional Standards and Ethics (6 hours)

(3) ED PS 7100 – Professional Issues and Ethics in School Psychology (required)

(3) ED PS 7080 – History and Systems of Psychology (required)

or

(4) PSY 7508 – History and Systems of Psychology (also accepted)

B. Cognitive Affective Bases of Behavior (3 hours)

(3) ED PS 7863 – Cognitive and Affective Basis of Behavior (required as of 8/20/2012)

C. Social Bases of Behavior (3 hours)

(3) ED PS 7550 – Social Psychology of Human Diversity (required)

or

(4) PSY 6410 – Advanced Social Psychology (also accepted)

D. Individual Differences (min 6 hours)

(3) ED PS 7450 – Child & Adolescent Psychopathology (required)

(3) ED PS 7050 – Lifespan Development (required)

E. Biological Bases of Behavior (min 3 hours)

(3) ED PS 7160 – Neuropsychological Bases of Behavior (required)

or

(4) PSY 6700 – Neuropsychology (also accepted)

F. Research Design and Statistics (min 19 hours)

Statistics (min 9 hours)

(3) ED PS 7010 – Quantitative Methods I: Intro to Inferential Statistics (required)

(6) ED PS 7020 – Quantitative Methods II: ANOVA/Multiple Regression (required)

(3) EDPS 6969 – Special topics: Intro to Hierarchical Linear Modeling (recommended)

Research Design (min 7 hours)

(4) ED PS 7835 – School Psychology Research Seminar

(3) ED PS 7400 – Advanced Research Design

and/or

(3) ED PS 7410 – Single Subject Research Design

or equivalent

Research Writing (minimum 3 hours; *optional or upon recommendation of advisor*)

(2) WRTG 6000: Writing for Publication (2) Prerequisite: Graduate standing or instructor consent. Preparation of various forms and styles of academic and professional writing, including abstracts, theses, and journal articles. Intended for graduate or advanced undergraduate students in all disciplines.

(3) WRTG 7000: Dissertation Writing (3) Prerequisite: Graduate standing. Introduces students to the various genres of dissertations and how to prepare them. The course focuses on the process of writing a dissertation, from contextualizing a problem, to describing research methods, to making the results relevant to a scholarly community. Helpful for all students, including those in the hard sciences.

(3) WRTG 7001: Grant Proposal Writing (3) Prerequisite: Graduate Standing. Focuses on grant proposals, addressing the expectations of specific audiences. Emphasis on institutional and national context for grant writing

G. Educational Foundations (min of 3 hours)

(3) SPED 6040 – Legal and Policy Issues Spec Ed (required)

H. Psychoeducational Assessment (min 12 hours)

- (3) ED PS 7130 – Cognitive Assessment (required)
- (3) ED PS 7140 – Multicultural Assessment in the Schools (required)
- (3) ED PS 7150 – Individual Child and Adolescent Assessment (required)
- (3) ED PS 7300 – Psychometric Theory (required)

Other Relevant Assessment Courses (optional):

- (3) ED PS 7190 – Applied Neuropsychological Assessment
- (3) ED PS 7180 – Personality Assessment

I. Intervention Strategies (min 12 hours)

- (3) ED PS 7390 – Interventions in the Schools (required)
- (3) ED PS 7470 – Consultation and Supervision in Applied Settings (required)
- (3) ED PS 7110 – Child/Family Psychotherapy/Counseling Interventions (required)
- (3) ED PS 7380 – Academic Assessment/Interventions for Students w/Learning Diff. (required)

Other Relevant Intervention Courses (optional):

- (3) ED PS 6360 – Multicultural Counseling
- (3) ED PS 6200 – Counseling Theories and Procedures
- (3) ED PS 6210 – Counseling Skills
- (3) ED PS 7250 – Family Counseling for School-based Problems

J. Professional School Psychology (min 27 hours)

- (-) ED PS 7100 – Professional Issues and Ethics in School Psych (also required under A.)
- (3) ED PS 7831 – Ped Neuro Seminar in School Psychology or (3) ED PS 7836 – Special Topics: Autism Spectrum Disorders (required)
- (4) ED PS 7730 – SP Practicum: Clinic (required)
- (4) ED PS 7731 – SP Practicum: Field (required)
- (16) ED PS 7910 – Internship in School Psychology (required)

K. Masters/Doctoral Research (min 18 hours)

Master's Thesis/Research (min of 4 semester hours)

- (4) ED PS 7732 – School Psychology Research Practicum
- or
- (6) ED PS 6970 – Thesis

Doctoral Dissertation Project

- (14) ED PS 7970 – Dissertation

SUGGESTED DOCTORAL SCHEDULE

(note: EP = ED PS courses)

Fall Semester	Spring Semester	Summer Semester
1st Year EP 7100, Prof Issues/Ethics SP EP 7450, Psychopathology ¹ EP 7130, Cog. Assess EP 7010, Quant Methods I EP 7730, Clinic Practicum (1) EP 7835, SP Research Sem. (2)	1st Year EP 7390, Interventions in Schools EP 7150, Ind Child/Adol Assess EP 7020, Quant Methods II (6) EP 7730, Clinic Practicum (1) EP 7835, SP Research Sem. (2)	1st Year EP 7140, MC Assessment EP 7836, Autism
2nd Year EP 7110, Child/Fam Ther/Couns* EP 7050, Lifespan Develop EP 7300, Psychometric Theory EP 7730, Clinic Practicum (1) EP 7732/6970, Res Prac/Thesis	2nd Year EP 7400, Adv Res Design ³ (or EP 7410, Single Subject) EP 7470, Consultation SPED 6040, Legal Issues/Spec Ed EP 7380, Acad Assess & Interv EP 7730, Clinic Practicum (1) EP 7732/6970, Res Prac/Thesis	SPED 6040 Legal Issues. (optional in summer)
3rd Year EP 7160, Neuro Bases-Behavior ² EP 7080 History and Systems EP 7731, Field Prac (2) Prelim Exam (opt)	3rd Year EP 7550, Soc Psy Diversity EP 7400, Adv Res Design ³ (or EP 7410, Single Subject) EP 7863 Cog-Aff Bases of Beh EP 7190 Neuropsych Assess ² EP 7731, Field Prac (2) Prelim Exam (opt)	
4th Year Prelim Exam (required) EP 7970, Diss (5)	4th Year EP 7970, Diss (5)	EP 7970, Diss (4)
5th Year EP 7910, Intern (6)	5th Year EP 7910, Intern (6)	EP 7910, Intern (4)

Note: all courses are 3 hrs unless otherwise specified in ().

¹Note: For 2012-2014 only; EDPS 7450 Child Psychopathology will be taken by doctoral students in Fall 2013 and EDPS 7110 Child/Fam Ther will be taken by doctoral students in Fall, 2012. Doctoral students will take EP 7390, Interventions in Schools in Spring, 2014.

²Many students complete Neuro Basis of Behavior course and Neuro Assess class sequence, which is offered in alternating years.

³SUBSTITUTE OPTIONS: students who are conducting single subject studies are advised to take EP 7410, Single Subject Research, or a comparable Single Subject class in Spec Ed Dept.

Be sure to check departmental schedules before attempting to register since some courses may not be taught each year (e.g., EP 7080 and EP 7160), faculty may be on sabbatical or times/semesters may change.

EP 7831, Sem in Pediatric Neuropsychology may be scheduled in lieu of EP 7836, Autism Spectrum Disorders.

Note: Students completing specialized grant training will be required to enroll in seminars or related coursework associated with goals and objectives of each grant activity.

Description of Specialized Courses and Requirements

School Psychology Seminars

First Year School Psychology Research Seminar: The seminar is required for all doctoral students and is intended to (1) familiarize students with faculty research interests, (2) help students develop research ideas of their own for thesis and dissertation projects, (3) provide information about the requirements for a research proposal, and (4) provide students with skills to begin to critically evaluate research that is published in the field. First year students are required to sign up for a minimum of 2 hours each semester (ED PS 7835). In addition to monthly meetings with Dr. Jenson, first year students are also expected to attend the Educational Psychology Department's Seminar Series as directed by faculty (attendance is taken).

Master's Thesis or Research Practicum (ED PS 6970 or ED PS 7732- min 4 hrs)

Doctoral students are required to complete a research experience or thesis project. Typically, students with no graduate research experience will begin to formulate a topic/area of interest during their second year and meet with a faculty mentor to discuss potential thesis projects. Guidelines for the selection of committee members and other departmental procedures are outlined in Appendix A. Supervisory Committee guidelines and forms can also be found at (<http://ed-psych.utah.edu/documents/sac/info/comguide.pdf>). Research requirements may be met in one of two ways.

Research Practicum Option: Students may elect a non-thesis option and complete School Psychology Research Practicum (ED PS 7732), which is offered in fall, spring and summer semesters. This 2 credit per semester structured, research-focused class meets on an arranged basis and requires that students complete a research experience and a culminating literature review/proposal. Doctoral students will use the course as a prerequisite to completing a dissertation proposal and prelims.

Thesis Option: The student and the student's faculty mentor will develop a proposal and select additional committee members who agree to serve on the student's supervisory committee for their thesis research. Ideally, the student will present their proposal to the supervisory committee and to the university Institutional Review Board (IRB) for approval during their third year. If the project involves data collection within a school district, the proposal must also be approved by the appropriate authority within the district. Once the colloquium has been completed and IRB approval obtained, the student is able to begin the project. Students should complete these activities prior to committing to an internship (see School Psychology Internship section). Once the project is completed, the student will schedule a meeting with the supervisory committee to present their project for approval. The student must also conform to the Graduate School's policies and procedures for preparing a thesis, having it edited by the Thesis Editor, and filing it as the final step in master's graduation. These policies and procedures are available through the Graduate School (<http://www.gradschool.utah.edu/index.php>).

Students who enter the Ph.D. program with credit for a Master's Degree but who have not done an empirical research thesis must also complete a 4 credit hour supervised research practicum. Students need to identify a faculty member to oversee the project and also need to get the project approved by the School Psychology Training Director. A written proposal needs to be submitted for approval and a written product needs to be turned into the Training Director for approval before the student can enter candidacy for the Ph.D. Examples of possible projects include the development of a pilot study related to dissertation research, research with a faculty member or advanced doctoral candidate within the university or practitioner outside the university, or assisting another doctoral student with their dissertation research (e.g., data collection and analysis). This requirement may be addressed through enrollment in the School Psychology Research Practicum.

Students who enter the doctoral program with credit for a Master's Degree and who have completed a masters' thesis from another university or department also need to obtain approval before entering into doctoral candidacy. Students are encouraged to submit a copy of their master's thesis to the School Psychology Committee (via the Training Director) early in their program to allow sufficient time for review. The School Psychology Committee reserves the right to require additional research work if the thesis does not meet departmental standards.

Preliminary Qualifying Examinations for Doctoral Candidates

Subsequent to completing all requirements for the Master's degree, except internship hours (or following the first year of course work for the student who enters the program with a master's degree), doctoral students are eligible to take the School Psychology Preliminary Qualifying Examinations (SPPQE) as long as: (1) a program of study has been filed; and, (2) the student has received approval from the faculty or his/her doctoral supervisory committee to proceed with the examination. The exam is completed in two sections: an oral exam is scheduled with program faculty; and students also complete a written essay exam based on a reading list provided by the faculty and available online:

(<http://tartarus.ed.utah.edu/users/daniel.olympia/Prelim%20Readings/>).

The SPPQE reading list typically covers eight core areas including: (1) history and theory in school psychology; (2) school psychology research; (3) assessment, diagnosis, and evaluation; (4) interventions; (5) consultation; (6) diversity; (7) ethical and professional issues; and (8) practice. Content is drawn from coursework with required readings. Students participating in a specialized training may also be required to respond to a question that pertains to the content of the emphasis area. The examination is given twice yearly, once during fall semester, and again in the spring. The fall examination is typically scheduled in September/first half of October and the spring semester exam the end of April/first of May. Specific dates will be announced by the beginning of the academic semester that precedes the examination. Students intending to take the examination must register at least two weeks before the examination date with Dr. Jenson, Coordinator of the SPPQE or his designee. The SPPQE is not offered during the Summer semester.

Questions for the SPPQE will be prepared by the primary School Psychology Faculty before the examination date. Questions will be drawn from a reading list (available from the school psychology program faculty and posted online); required program course content; and recent school psychology journals (one calendar year prior to the exam in *School Psychology Quarterly* and *School Psychology Review*). The Program Faculty encourages the formation of study groups in preparation for the SPPQE.

Successful completion of the SPPQE examination consists of a passing (70% or higher) grade for both the oral exam and the essay section. If any portion of the exam (e.g., essay, oral) is not passed, the student has the right to take that portion of the examination over at the next formally scheduled prelim administration date or at a time arranged by the school psychology faculty.

Note: Doctoral students may not hold a dissertation research colloquium until the SPPQE is successfully passed.

Doctoral Dissertation Research (ED PS 7970 - min 14 hrs)

Dissertation research credits are accumulated under ED PS 7970 (minimum of 14). Immediately following successful completion of the preliminary qualifying examinations the student is expected to begin actively developing the dissertation proposal. Students typically identify a faculty member who agrees to serve as Chair for the student. Once a Chair has been identified, the student and Chair begin to develop a proposal and to identify other committee members who agree to serve on the student's committee. *New Policy: The program provides a limited number of Research Awards to underwrite costs of initiating and completing dissertation research. An application for a Research Award is located in Appendix C.* The proposal is evaluated by the student's committee in an open colloquium. The dissertation colloquium cannot be scheduled until the student has passed the School Psychology Preliminary Qualifying Examinations (SPPQE). Students are required to provide a hard copy of their completed dissertation project to the supervisory committee at least two weeks prior to the defense date, unless otherwise stipulated by the committee chair. Students are responsible for scheduling the defense at a time and place that facilitates participation by all committee members. Students are required to submit any revisions to their completed dissertation project within **30 days** from the defense date, unless otherwise indicated by the committee. Students are required to be familiar with procedures and policies of the university and the Graduate School associated with final submission of the approved thesis/dissertation (<http://www.gradschool.utah.edu/thesis/index.php>).

Dissertation Article: Doctoral students are strongly encouraged to submit either their master's thesis or dissertation (completed within the School Psychology Program) in article format before the dissertation defense date. Students are asked to turn in one copy of the thesis or dissertation in article format to their committee chair and one to the School Psychology Program director. Students should plan to submit the article for publication, but publication of journal submissions is subject to editorial review and acceptance by the journal.

Defense Procedural Instructions

Contact JoLynn Yates - Academic Program Specialist (jo.yates@utah.edu) by phone at 801-581-7148 to schedule a room for the date and time you set with your committee. Also provide your title and chair for the announcement. JoLynn will announce the defense two days prior.

Two Weeks Prior

- 1) Provide copies of your dissertation to all members of your committee

Day of the Defense

- 2) Bring six copies*(three are required by the Graduate School and it is suggested that you order a copy of your thesis/dissertation to give to your chair, one for yourself, and then an extra copy of the forms to be safe) of the *Final Reading* forms and *Supervisory Committee Approval* forms found at:

For thesis: (http://www.gradschool.utah.edu/thesis/forms/signature_ms.pdf)

For dissertation: (http://www.gradschool.utah.edu/thesis/forms/signature_phd.pdf)

***THESE FORMS MUST BE PRINTED ON THESIS (acid free) PAPER** (the same paper you will print copies of your thesis/dissertation on for the final submission to the thesis editor).

For in-depth guidelines regarding these forms and other thesis/dissertation specifications, please see the thesis handbook at (<http://www.gradschool.utah.edu/thesis/handbook.pdf>).

- 3) Following the oral defense, submit one copy of the thesis/dissertation to the thesis editor (this copy can be on plain paper). After you make the final edits, you will submit one final copy (printed on thesis paper with the *Final Reading* and *Supervisory Committee* forms you had signed) for distribution to the library. Additionally, the approved electronic copy will be provided to the library. Contact the thesis office for any questions regarding this portion of the process at 801-581-8893.

Dissertation Credit Hour Requirements

For doctoral students, a **minimum of 14 hours of dissertation credit (ED PS 7970)** is required; there is no maximum number that can be used toward the degree. You must be registered for a minimum of 3 credit hours during the semester you defend.

Practicum and Internship

The School Psychology Program at the University of Utah seeks to provide coordinated and integrated training experiences to assure that students are adequately prepared for practicum, internship and eventual employment in the field of school psychology. The program subscribes to the competency framework for professional psychology outlined in 2009 by Kaslow, N.J., Grus, C.L., Campbell, L.F., Fouad, N.A., Hatcher, R.L., Rodolfa, E.R. (Competency assessment toolkit for professional psychology. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology*. Vol 3(4), 27-45. Specific competencies are developed through coursework and applied experiences and students are reviewed annually to assure that specific benchmarks are attained for the next level of professional preparation. The programs practices are consistent with model assessment of foundational and functional competencies (see Appendix G). During pre-practicum, practicum and internship, students are reviewed using annual performance reviews, case presentation reviews, formal written and oral examinations, self-assessments, evaluation of specific competencies, consumer surveys, portfolios, and simulations/roleplays.

Clinic and Field Practicum Requirements (ED PS 7730 and ED PS 7731 - min 8 credit hours for doctoral degree)

Practicum takes place over a three year period and meets requirements of both NASP and APA (i.e., minimum of 400 direct service contact hours). First and second year students participate in a campus-based practicum at the Department of Educational Psychology's Educational Assessment and Student Support Clinic before going to off-campus sites in their third year. Students are required to sign up for one credit hour during fall and spring semesters of the first and second year, under ED PS 7730. First year students will work closely with second year students and faculty to become acquainted with clinic policy and procedures. In most cases, first year students will be able to gain some direct experience working with Clinic clients by the second semester of their first year, but only after demonstrating basic competency/skill requirements. Second year Clinic students will be providing the majority of clinical services and, like first year students, will be supervised by Clinic faculty. Students in the Clinic will be required to act ethically and abide by all Clinic policies. Regular attendance at the Monday noon-hour Clinic meetings is also required of Clinic practicum students during their first and second year.

Supervision Emphasis: Theoretical and practical aspects of supervision will be included in the Consultation course, the second year of Clinic Practicum, and during Internship. This will allow students to gain both theoretical and practical knowledge about supervision issues. First year school psychology students will experience first-hand supervision by the faculty and second year clinic students, and second year clinic students who are co-supervising first year students will receive supervision by Drs. Clark, Pompa and Hoerner. Interns who co-supervise practicum students will receive supervision of their supervisory skills by their assigned field supervisors.

Third year students will complete the practicum assignment in the schools, or an appropriate clinical site. These students must register for two credit hours for fall and spring semesters, (under ED PS 7731). Since the third year practicum is the joint responsibility of the school psychology faculty at the University and the participating school districts and mental health agencies, supervision will be provided both on-site by certified school psychologists and licensed psychologists in their practicum sites and by the program's licensed field practicum supervisor. Again, students will also be required to attend the regularly-scheduled field practicum class taught by university faculty during fall and spring semesters of ED PS 7731.

Multicultural Emphasis: Placements are sought in settings that offer opportunities to work with ethnically and racially diverse students. Salt Lake City School District is the most diverse school district; however, other districts in Utah have large ethnic minority student bodies. Students are required to have practical experiences with diverse populations regardless of the district or agency.

Fingerprinting and Background Check: All students must be fingerprinted and submit to a background check before entering the schools for practicum or internship experiences. This is typically accomplished during the first semester of enrollment in the program. It is presently **REQUIRED** of all students to undergo a background check with fingerprinting the first year of the program (during Clinic Practicum fall semester) due to the fact students will potentially be working in the clinic or schools that year. Background checks expire after 3 years; it is the student's responsibility to ensure that background checks and fingerprinting are current. See the department's administrative secretary for the appropriate procedures.

Additional Practicum Opportunities and Work Experiences: In addition to completing required practicum experiences, students may have opportunities or a desire to work in specialized settings under supervision to refine or practice skills. To be considered a formal practicum for credit, there must be approval by the Practicum Supervisor and Training Director, an identified university and field supervisor, a set of specific objectives for the practicum experience and a formal evaluation of the student by university and field supervisors.

School Psychology Internship (ED PS 7190 - min 16 credit hours).

To be eligible for internship, the student must have completed (1) the core academic school psychology courses; (2) the clinic and field practicum sequence; and (3) receive permission from the program's internship supervisor. The internship is a culminating experience for the student which integrates theory and practice. Students are encouraged to seek internships in a variety of settings including schools, hospitals, mental health centers, residential settings, and others, and out of state placements.

Doctoral students: Doctoral students must complete a 2,000 hour internship after the awarding of the master's degree as part of their degree requirements. At least 600 hours of this internship must be school based, or the student must show equivalent experience in the schools (i.e. a previous internship at the masters' level in a school setting). If equivalence is shown, a student may select an alternative setting. Doctoral interns must be supervised by a doctoral level licensed psychologist, and if the internship occurs in the schools, the supervisor must also have a license as a school psychologist. Any exceptions must be discussed with the faculty member in school psychology at the University of Utah who is responsible for internship placements and the Training Director.

Doctoral students are encouraged to seek APA-approved internship sites; however, application to APPIC or other approved sites are also acceptable. The Internship directors provides regular, timely scheduled orientations each semester to address application procedures and timelines for both APA and APPIC internships. Sessions are open to all doctoral students regardless of year in the program to assure quality applications. The internship director must approve all sites that are not APA-approved or listed in the APPIC directory. Doctoral students may elect to do part-time internships; however, the 2,000 hours must be completed within a 24 month period. Doctoral students entering without a masters' degree must address all requirements for the masters' degree before starting their internship,

including the completion of their research project or thesis and application for the Master's degree through the Graduate School. All students must complete a minimum of 600 hours of internship in the schools, and the additional hours to count towards the 2000-hour requirement (e.g., students wishing to have an APA internship at some time). Doctoral students will not be allowed to do more than two years of full time internship before completing all dissertation requirements.

The internship program is the joint responsibility of the School Psychology Program and the participating school districts and internship field placements. The daily supervision of interns is conducted by approved field supervisors in concert with School Psychology Program Faculty coordination. The internship is designed as a culminating experience of the student's program which enhances the development of competencies and professionalism. As such, the internship allows the student the opportunity to integrate course work, research, theory, and practical experiences in a supervised, applied setting. Opportunities for co-supervision of school psychology practicum students will also be arranged with the interns' field supervisors. Students will be required to attend regularly scheduled internship meetings during the fall and spring semesters unless they are on internship at APA or APPIC sites that provide regular didactic instruction and group supervision.

Although the program does not guarantee that students will meet all criteria for licensure in different states, to date, no student has been prevented from obtaining a license in other locations (see following section on Licensure). Program requirements are also consistent with the current psychology licensing laws in the State of Utah Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing (<http://www.dopl.utah.gov/laws/58-61.pdf>). Doctoral graduates seeking professional licensure in the state of Utah through the Department of Occupational and Professional Licensing (DOPL) must accumulate 4000 total hours of supervised practice in order to sit for the national and state exams. In Utah, additional supervised internship hours beyond those required for the degree may be documented and accumulated prior to completion of other degree requirements **IF** they meet the standards for supervision, etc. Consistent with APA accreditation standards, the program requires at least 2 hours of face to face supervision with a licensed psychologist and an additional 2 hours of related group supervision per week.

PRAXIS Exam: All students must take and pass the PRAXIS exam during the internship year. This includes students who enter the program with a master's degree in School Psychology if they have not already taken the exam. The program requires a minimum passing score of **165**, which is the current requirement set by the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) in order to be fully credentialed in the state of Utah. The minimum passing score of 165 is also required by NASP as one requirement for the NCSP (Nationally Certified School Psychologist) credential. The PRAXIS exam may be retaken by anyone scoring below 165. However, documentation of passing test scores must be provided to the Internship Coordinator before receiving a passing grade for the Internship course.

Other Scholarly Requirements

Portfolio

All students need to maintain a portfolio to assist faculty in evaluating their progress. Included in the portfolio are the following: (1) current vita; (2) syllabi from completed courses; (3) transcripts of grades for these courses; (4) annual reviews by School Psychology Faculty; (5) practicum logs (clinic and field); (6) internship contract; (7) internship logs; (8) evaluation forms from all field supervisors (clinic and field practicum and internship); (9) copies of Supervisory Committee forms; (10) copy of

Program of Study forms; (11) completed research project or thesis; (12) completed or proposed dissertation research and IRB proposal; (13) professional paper submissions and conference presentations; (14) honors and awards; (15) PRAXIS results; and (16) sample copies of psychoeducational reports with identifying information removed. Doctoral students also need to include: a summary of the doctoral preliminary exam results, proof of completed master's thesis or research project, copy of completed dissertation, required research article, and any teaching evaluations. Additionally, doctoral students who enter the program with a Masters' Degree need to include a statement of approval from the Program Director regarding the acceptance of their prior masters' thesis or completion of an alternative project. A portfolio checklist is provided in the Appendix.

Please note that the portfolio needs to be reviewed prior to any application for internship. This means students must turn in their portfolios to the Internship Coordinator (instructor for ED PS 7910) before starting the internship, preferably during the spring semester of the third year in the program. Students are responsible for making an appointment with the Internship Coordinator (i.e., faculty member teaching ED PS 7910). It is preferable to make this appointment close to the time you are applying for internships so the portfolio is recent and relatively complete.

Prior to graduation, all students must schedule a final meeting with the School Psychology Training Director for a final review of their portfolios. This is to insure that all program requirements have been met. This is a requirement of graduation from the program.

Time Limits

Student progress toward meeting program requirements for both the master's level and doctoral programs is reviewed on an annual basis. Students who are admitted to the Ph.D. program with credit for a Bachelor's Degree optimally complete all requirements for the Ph.D. in five years from the first semester of enrollment. Students who are admitted to the Ph.D. program with credit for a previous Master's Degree in School Psychology optimally complete all requirements for the Ph.D. in four years from the first semester of enrollment.

Program and department policy allows students a maximum of **seven years** from the date of initial matriculation to complete all requirements for the Ph.D. degree. Students entering the doctoral program with a Master's Degree have a maximum of 5 years to complete requirements for respective degrees. Failure to complete program requirements within this time frame can result in dismissal from the program and the department. ***Additionally, students exceeding deadlines will be required to formally request an extension of their program AND if an extension is granted, must enroll for 9 semester hours each semester until degree requirements are completed. If exceptional circumstances have precluded program completion within the seven year time limit, a student and his/her faculty adviser may jointly appeal in writing to the School Psychology Committee for a one year extension.***

Leaves of Absence: Students who need to discontinue their studies for one or more semesters (fall and spring) must file a Request for Leave of Absence form with the department chairperson. Subject to the approval of the Dean of the Graduate School, such requests may be granted for up to one year for a variety of reasons. It should be noted that time spent on an approved leave of absence is not counted against students in terms of the time limits for the program.

Important

Students who fail to register for a minimum of 3 credit hours for either fall or spring semester are automatically dropped from the Graduate School and the program. Students who fail to keep their registration current will have to reapply for the School Psychology program. Applications are only reviewed once a year, therefore, failure to maintain regular enrollment during the school year could result in a significant delay in completing the program. Students **may not** use Continuing Registration EDPS 7990 to satisfy enrollment requirements for the program and department unless they have completed ALL degree requirements, including dissertation research or have explicit permission of the Department Chair.

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY SPECIAL TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES

The program offers specialized training opportunities in the area of autism and other low incidence disabilities, high incidence conditions, neuropsychology, and early childhood assessment and intervention. Students who wish to specialize in a particular area need to contact faculty who are associated with the training and inquire about recommended courses and practicum. Students who select specific training foci are allowed to focus one of their prelim questions in this area. Hopefully, students will also complete their thesis and/or dissertation research in the particular emphasis area.

If interested in specialized training, please contact the faculty involved:

High Incidence/School Based Interventions: Bill Jenson and Dan Olympia

Leadership in Autism and Low Incidence Disabilities: Elaine Clark and Bill Jenson

Neuropsychology/Multicultural Issues: Janiece Pompa and Elaine Clark

LICENSURE

Students who have completed all requirements for a masters' degree, plus a 1500 hour internship and successful completion of the PRAXIS exam in school psychology, are eligible to apply for State licensure as a School Psychologist. This process must be initiated by the student. Applications are available online (<http://education.utah.edu/students/usoe-licensure-apps.php>) and must be completed and submitted to the department's administrative secretary. Licensure is granted through the Utah State Office of Education but approval by the Educational Psychology Department is a required part of the application process. The majority of students who complete degrees in School Psychology apply for this license and it is required for students wishing to continue work in Utah schools.

Students who have completed a Ph.D. degree, and have completed all required field work (e.g., a 4,000 total hours of supervised pre-doctoral internship and post-doctoral supervised fellowship) can apply to take the Psychology Licensure exam. Students must initiate this on their own through the State of Utah Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing. Current psychology licensing laws for the State of Utah may be found at the Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing website (<http://www.dopl.utah.gov/laws/58-61.pdf>). In Utah, additional supervised internship hours beyond those required for the degree (i.e. 2000 hours) may be documented and accumulated prior to completion of other degree requirements only if they are post-masters and meet the standards for supervision, etc. Note that 1,000 of the hours must be "mental health" hours (e.g., direct service to clients/students). All supervision must be completed by a licensed psychologist eligible by the State

to provide supervision (i.e. licensed for 2 or more years). APA accreditation standards also require two hours of face to face individual supervision each week. The majority of our program graduates are licensed as psychologists in the state of Utah or other states.

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS AND ETHICAL PRINCIPLES

The continued development of psychology as a profession is dependent upon the consistent implementation of standards of conduct for psychologists that prescribe acceptable ethical and professional behavior. Students are expected to demonstrate academic honesty and abide by the University of Utah's Code of Conduct (<http://www.admin.utah.edu/ppmanual/8/8-10.html>). In addition, students in the program must adhere to the various ethical standards promulgated by NASP and the American Psychological Association. This includes the *Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct* (2002), *General Guidelines for Providers of Psychological Services* (1987), and *Specialty Guidelines for the Delivery of Services* (1981). Students in the School Psychology program are expected to consistently implement the various standards and principles in their work as psychologists-in-training.

Note: Program Advisory on Ethical Community Engagement

- 1) Conduct in the Community: Students are encouraged to be mindful that while they lead private lives, they are engaged in public professions. Students must monitor of the appropriateness of their conduct at all times, and exercise discerning judgment in both professional and personal pursuits.
- 2) Use of Social Media: Students should be aware of potential repercussions stemming from careless use of social media outlets. It is the responsibility of students to ensure that any social media profiles, wherein personal information is shared, remain "private". Similarly, students should closely monitor those who have access to personal information shared privately via social media outlets (e.g., who they "friend" and how their personal information may be shared without their knowledge). Students will be held responsible for their online conduct.
- 3) Electronic Communications: Students must seek to create and use usernames and email addresses that are dignified and professional in nature. The dissemination of email, text, or other forms of correspondence (including photos) that are inconsistent with ethical and professional standards will not be tolerated.

Students are required to familiarize themselves with the following documents during their first semester in residence in the program.

American Psychological Association (2010). *Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct*, American Psychological Association, Washington DC..

American Psychological Association (1993). Guidelines for Providers of Psychological Services to Ethnic, Linguistic, and Culturally Diverse Populations, *American Psychologist*, 48, 45-48.

Jacob, S., Decker, A. & Hartshorne, T. S. (2011). *Ethics and Law for School Psychologists* (6th edition). New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons.

National Association of School Psychologists (2010). *Principles for Professional Ethics*. Silver Springs, MD: NASP.

EVALUATION OF STUDENT PROGRESS AND RIGHTS TO APPEAL

Students are regularly evaluated regarding progress in the program and in developing needed competencies for the professional practice of school psychology, using a variety of methods (see Appendix B). This includes meeting minimum standards in coursework (e.g., no course accepted for credit with a grade below a B (department requires a grade point average 3.0 or higher). Students must also receive a Credit (CR) in practicum and internship in order to continue in the program. Any grades below a B, or any “no credit” (NC) grades in practicum or internship must be retaken and completed as soon as possible. An evaluation of professional practice skills occurs through instructor and/or supervisor feedback in core courses and clinic/field experiences (practicum and internship). Feedback from practicum and internship supervisors is an important part of the evaluation process. Included in the practice evaluations are student’s skills in assessment and intervention, capability to work with a diverse group of students and families, adherence to professional ethical standards/codes and general professional and interpersonal skills.

All students are formally reviewed on an annual basis and receive written feedback each spring on individual progress in meeting program goals and requirements. In addition, the program faculty relies on portfolio reviews by the internship coordinator/instructor, performance on the SPPQE, and the annual student review to base their decisions on the appropriateness of student’s academic and professional performance. Readiness for practicum, internship and employment experiences is also assessed by faculty using feedback from supervisors, review of specific competencies associated with program and professional benchmark standards (see Appendix 3) and individual conferences with students.

Personal Difficulties: In general, the school psychology program faculty will support students through a short term crisis, and provide activities to help them recoup missed learning experiences. Rarely do students have such severe personal/interpersonal or academic/professional difficulties that their ability to function as professional school psychologists is questioned. However, if the faculty and/or student determine that this is the case, students may be informally advised to seek appropriate professional assistance to resolve the problem. Students will be responsible for this, including any payment. Counseling services are also available at the University of Utah Counseling Center (<http://www.sa.utah.edu/counsel/>). The faculty may also decide to formally recommend (i.e., in writing) that the student seek a psychological/psychiatric consultation and/or therapy. If, in the opinion of the faculty, the student cannot function as a professional school psychologist (practitioner or academic), the student is notified in writing that probationary status or dismissal is under consideration.

Occasionally, however, a student may experience a long-term crisis, or have a series of frequent acute difficulties. A concern exists when personal difficulties cause any or all of the following situations:

- a. The student is unable to attend class regularly over an extended period of time;
- b. The student is frequently poorly prepared (or unprepared) for class or other learning activities;
- c. The student is significantly behind in coursework or other benchmarks for the program;

d. The student is frequently unable to participate effectively in required learning activities.

It is the position of the school psychology program that when such situations exist, the student should objectively examine the situation and determine whether it is appropriate to take a leave of absence from the program for a semester or year, until the educational experience can be given appropriate attention. Personal difficulties may not be used as an excuse for unethical or irresponsible behavior. *Neither student nor university is well served when a student is given a degree despite inadequate preparation for the responsibilities of the profession.*

The student's advisor and Program Director will meet with the student, and assist him/her to clarify and evaluate options. If the student so chooses, a Leave of Absence form will be completed. If the student opts to remain active in the program, a [Plan](#) will be completed to clarify expectations and student responsibilities. Failure to progress after reasonable intervention, or a failure to comply with the agreed-upon plan, may result in programmatic dismissal.

Programmatic Dismissal: The student will have the right to speak directly with the faculty and Department Chair. If a decision is finally made to dismiss a student, the student will be informed in writing via certified mail. Students are encouraged to consult their advisor and the Program Director to ensure that they have adequate clarification, advice, and support regarding the issue. If students feel that the feedback has been unfair, they have the right to discuss the situation with someone outside the program. The student should consult the University Code, Policy 8-10, Rev 3 (<http://www.admin.utah.edu/ppmanual/8/8-10.html>) and/or Appendix D for further information about student rights and responsibilities, along with guidelines for appeal.

Specifically, the steps for appealing a decision made by the faculty or department are as follows:

1. Discuss the action with the faculty member or the School Psychology Program/Training Director and attempt to resolve the disagreement.
2. Appeal in writing, and meet with, the Department Chair *within 40 working days* of notification of the academic action. *Within 15 days*, it is the Chair's responsibility to notify the student and the faculty member or Training Director in writing of his or her decision.
3. If either party (faculty member/training director/ or student) disagrees with the chair's decision, she or he may appeal to the college's *Academic Appeals Committee* within 15 working days of notification of the chair's decision.

These steps are described in detail in the University Code (see above website, Policy 8-10, Rev3) and in Appendix D. In addition, students who feel they may be experiencing discrimination based on race/ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, religious orientation, veteran status, or disability may consult the University's Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO). Further information about this can be found on the Web at: (<http://www.hr.utah.edu/>). In addition, students wishing to speak to someone about advocacy on their behalf can contact the ASUU Student Advocacy Office: (<http://www.asuu.utah.edu/>).

ACCREDITATION

The School Psychology Doctoral Program is accredited by the American Psychological Association Committee on Accreditation. The phone number and address of the Committee on Accreditation is: Phone #: 202-336-5979, and address: APA Office of Accreditation; 750 First Street, NE, Washington DC, 20002-4242. The School Psychology Programs maintains a website (<http://ed-psych.utah.edu/school-psych/>) where additional information may be obtained.

APPROVAL

The doctoral program is also approved by the National Association of School Psychologists (NASP). For more information regarding NASP program review and approval and the submission process to be used by school psychology graduate programs, please see the NASP website (http://www.nasponline.org/standards/approvedtraining/training_program.aspx) or contact Dr. Enedina García Vázquez, Chair of the NASP Program Approval Board, phone: 575-646-9601, e-mail: (nasppab@psl.nmsu.edu).

For further information and application procedures contact:

**Daniel Olympia, Ph.D., Program Director
School Psychology Program
1705 Campus Center Drive, Room 327
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112
(801) 581-7148
dan.olympia@utah.edu**

Appendix

- Appendix A: Supervisory Committee Guidelines
- Appendix B: Comprehensive Evaluation of Student Trainee Competence in Professional Psychology Programs
Competency checklists Practicum, Internship, Entry Level Practice
- Appendix C: Leave of Absence Request
Petition for Course Substitution
Doctoral Program Planning Worksheet
- Appendix D: Professional/Academic Misconduct Procedures
- Appendix E: Faculty Profiles and Research Interests
- Appendix F: Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct for Psychologists
- Appendix G: Preparing Manuscripts for Publication in Psychology Journals:
A Guide for New Authors

APPENDIX A

Supervisory Committee Guidelines

Supervisory Committee Guidelines and Forms Can be Found at:

<http://ed-psych.utah.edu/sac/info-forms.php>

and

Resources for Graduate Students

<http://www.gradschool.utah.edu/students/index.php>

**Department of Educational Psychology
Supervisory Committee Guidelines**

1. Master's and doctoral committee chairpersons will be regular faculty from the Department of Educational Psychology. Regular faculty include professors, associate professors, assistant professors, instructors and honored faculty (Distinguished Professors, Presidential Professors, and University Professors).
2. All members of all committees must hold regular faculty appointments at the University of Utah or must have auxiliary faculty appointments at the University of Utah and be recognized by the department as eligible to participate in research supervision. Auxiliary faculty hold research, clinical, lecturer, adjunct, visiting, or emeritus appointments.
3. Master's committees will consist of three faculty members.
 - a. At least two committee members must be regular departmental faculty.
 - b. At least one member of the committee must be a regular departmental faculty member who represents the student's program.
 - c. One member of the committee may hold an auxiliary faculty rank or be on the faculty in another department.
4. Doctoral committees will consist of five faculty members.
 - a. The majority of the members of the committee will be regular faculty in the Department of Educational Psychology.
 - b. At least one member of the committee must be a regular or auxiliary member of the faculty in another department.
 - c. At least one member of the committee must be a regular departmental faculty member who represents the student's program.
 - d. One or two members of the committee may hold auxiliary faculty ranks. Exceptions to these guidelines require a special letter from the department chairperson or director of graduate studies to The Graduate School and approval by the dean of The Graduate School. An exception to policy may be granted to include a professor from another university as a member of the committee. In that case, the department requires a copy of the professor's vitae in addition to a letter of support from the department chair or director of graduate studies and approval by the dean of The Graduate School.

Department of Educational Psychology Policies and Procedures Document

The Director of Graduate Studies (DGS)

Effective Date: February 1, 2002.

A. Duties of the Director of Graduate Studies (DGS):

1. The DGS functions under the direction of the Department Chair. Thus, all actions of the DGS are advisory in nature and come as recommendations to the Department Chair. Specifically, the DGS will recommend the appointment of one within-department thesis/dissertation committee member (*note*: should a departmental faculty appointee have concerns about her/his recommendation [by the DGS] to serve on a committee such concerns should be expressed to the Department Chair prior to the Department Chair's final approval of the student supervisory committee). After the Department Chair finalizes the membership of the supervisory committee, the student can complete the Graduate School form titled, "University Graduate School Request for Supervisory Committee." This official form is then reviewed and signed by each faculty thesis/dissertation committee member, formally approved by the

- Chair of the Department and forwarded to the Dean of the Graduate School for final approval.
2. Attend a once-a-year meeting of the Graduate School and report relevant information to department faculty and administrative staff.
 3. Serve as an ex-officio member of the Training Directors' Committee in the Department of Educational Psychology and participate in the monthly meeting of this group.
 4. Assure that departmental policies remain consistent with those of the University of Utah Graduate School.
 5. Consistent with departmental and Graduate School policy, a majority vote from the student's supervisory committee to pass the thesis/dissertation (dissertation: "3 in favor, 2 opposed" or "4 in favor, 1 opposed"/ master's thesis: "2 in favor, one opposed") automatically recommends the dissertation/thesis for approval to the Department Chair. However, in such situations where there is a minority dissenting vote from one or more members of the student's supervisory committee on the adequacy of a thesis or dissertation, the DGS will conduct an independent review of the written thesis/dissertation and provide a recommendation with respect to the dissenting minority vote to the Department Chair. Such a DGS review will not affect the committee vote and is for information purposes only. This final review; however, should be conducted prior to final approval of the thesis/dissertation by the Department Chair.

B. The student's dissertation or thesis committee is responsible for:

1. Review and approval of the student's Candidacy Form and Program of Study.
2. Review, examination, and approval of the student's thesis/dissertation research proposal.
3. Review, examination, and approval of the student's final thesis or dissertation.

C. Steps in the Process of Completing a Thesis or Dissertation

The student discusses her/his research interest with various faculty and through this process decides on a research topic consistent with the student's and her/his proposed chairperson's interests and/or expertise. The student and her or his thesis/dissertation committee chair work together to develop a list of potential thesis/dissertation committee member(s). If the proposed thesis/dissertation committee members agree, the student (in conjunction with her/his committee chair) completes the attached form and delivers it to the department administrative officer assigned to this process (Sherrill Christensen). The administrative officer checks the form for accuracy and completeness and forwards it to the Director of Graduate Studies (DGS). The DGS, under the direction of the Department Chair, reviews the form and the specific committee membership request. The DGS then recommends a final within-department faculty member to serve on the thesis/dissertation committee. A copy of the department's "*Committee Approval Form*" is returned to the student and the original placed in the student's file. Using the Department's Committee Approval form, the student then completes the official Graduate School "*Request for Supervisory Committee*" form. Once completed, the Graduate School form is sent by the Department's responsible administrative officer to the Graduate School for final approval as described in the above paragraph. In most cases, it is anticipated that this process will progress towards Graduate School approval in a timely fashion. If, however, the DGS has concerns about the membership of a specific committee request, working with

the Chair of the student's committee the DGS may request a revision to the committee membership. If the student's committee chair has concerns about the DGS's within-department committee member recommendation, this concern can be submitted, in writing, to the Department Chair.

Other relevant forms are available on line on the SAC website or on the website of the Graduate School (<http://www.gradschool.utah.edu/students/index.php>).

APPENDIX B

The Comprehensive Evaluation of Student-Trainee Competence in Professional Psychology Programs

Competency benchmarks/checklists for Practicum, Internship and Employment

The Comprehensive Evaluation of Student-Trainee Competence in Professional Psychology Programs¹

I. Overview and Rationale

Professional psychologists are expected to demonstrate competence within and across a number of different but interrelated dimensions. Programs that educate and train professional psychologists also strive to protect the public and profession. Therefore, faculty, training staff, supervisors, and administrators in such programs have a duty and responsibility to evaluate the competence of students and trainees across multiple aspects of performance, development, and functioning.

It is important for students and trainees to understand and appreciate that academic competence in professional psychology programs (e.g., doctoral, internship, postdoctoral) is defined and evaluated comprehensively. Specifically, in addition to performance in coursework, seminars, scholarship, comprehensive examinations, and related program requirements, other aspects of professional development and functioning (e.g., cognitive, emotional, psychological, interpersonal, technical, and ethical) will also be evaluated. Such comprehensive evaluation is necessary in order for faculty, training staff, and supervisors to appraise the entire range of academic performance, development, and functioning of their student-trainees. This model policy attempts to disclose and make these expectations explicit for student-trainees prior to program entry and at the outset of education and training.

In response to these issues, the Council of Chairs of Training Councils (CCTC) has developed the following model policy that doctoral, internship, and postdoctoral training programs in psychology may use in their respective program handbooks and other written materials (see <http://www.psychtrainingcouncils.org/pubs/NCSP-20CCTC%20model%20Student%20Competency.pdf>). This policy was developed in consultation with CCTC member organizations, and is consistent with a range of oversight, professional, ethical, and licensure guidelines and procedures that are relevant to processes of training, practice, and the assessment of competence within professional psychology (e.g., the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards, 2004; *Competencies 2002: Future Directions in Education and Credentialing in Professional Psychology*; *Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct*, 2003; *Guidelines and Principles for Accreditation of Programs in Professional Psychology*, 2003; *Guidelines on Multicultural Education, Training, Research, Practice, and Organizational Change for Psychologists*, 2002).

¹ This document was developed by the Student Competence Task Force of the Council of Chairs of Training Councils (CCTC) and approved by the CCTC on March 25, 2004. Impetus for this document arose from the need, identified by a number of CCTC members that programs in professional psychology needed to clarify for themselves and their student-trainees that the comprehensive academic evaluation of student-trainee competence includes the evaluation of intrapersonal, interpersonal, and professional development and functioning. Because this crucial aspect of academic competency had not heretofore been well addressed by the profession of psychology, CCTC approved the establishment of a "Student Competence Task Force" to examine these issues and develop proposed language. This document was

developed during 2003 and 2004 by a 17-member task force comprised of representatives from the various CCTC training councils. Individuals with particular knowledge of scholarship related to the evaluation of competency as well as relevant ethical and legal expertise were represented on this task force. The initial draft of this document was developed by the task force and distributed to all of the training councils represented on CCTC. Feedback was subsequently received from multiple perspectives and constituencies (e.g., student, doctoral, internship), and incorporated into this document, which was edited a final time by the task force and distributed to the CCTC for discussion. This document was approved by consensus at the 3/25/04 meeting of the CCTC with the following clarifications: (a) training councils or programs that adopt this "model policy" do so on a voluntary basis (i.e., it is not a "mandated" policy from CCTC); (b) should a training council or program choose to adopt this "model policy" in whole or in part, an opportunity should be provided to student-trainees to consent to this policy prior to entering a training program; (c) student-trainees should know that information relevant to the evaluation of competence as specified in this document may not be privileged information between the student-trainee and the program and/or appropriate representatives of the program.

II. Model Policy

Students and trainees in professional psychology programs (at the doctoral, internship, or postdoctoral level) should know—prior to program entry, and at the outset of training—that faculty, training staff, supervisors, and administrators have a professional, ethical, and potentially legal obligation to: (a) establish criteria and methods through which aspects of competence other than, and in addition to, a student-trainee's knowledge or skills may be assessed (including, but not limited to, emotional stability and well being, interpersonal skills, professional development, and personal fitness for practice); and, (b) ensure—insofar as possible—that the student-trainees who complete their programs are competent to manage future relationships (e.g., client, collegial, professional, public, scholarly, supervisory, teaching) in an effective and appropriate manner. Because of this commitment, and within the parameters of their administrative authority, professional psychology education and training programs, faculty, training staff, supervisors, and administrators strive not to advance, recommend, or graduate students or trainees with demonstrable problems (e.g., cognitive, emotional, psychological, interpersonal, technical, and ethical) that may interfere with professional competence to other programs, the profession, employers, or the public at large.

As such, within a developmental framework, and with due regard for the inherent power difference between students and faculty, students and trainees should know that their faculty, training staff, and supervisors will evaluate their competence in areas other than, and in addition to, coursework, seminars, scholarship, comprehensive examinations, or related program requirements. These evaluative areas include, but are not limited to, demonstration of sufficient: (a) interpersonal and professional competence (e.g., the ways in which student-trainees relate to clients, peers, faculty, allied professionals, the public, and individuals from diverse backgrounds or histories); (b) self-awareness, self-reflection, and self-evaluation (e.g., knowledge of the content and potential impact of one's own beliefs and values on clients, peers, faculty, allied professionals, the public, and individuals from diverse backgrounds or histories); (c) openness to processes of supervision (e.g., the ability and willingness to explore issues that either interfere with the appropriate provision of care or impede professional development or functioning); and (d) resolution of issues or problems that interfere with professional development or functioning in a satisfactory manner (e.g., by responding constructively to feedback from supervisors or program faculty; by the successful completion of remediation plans; by participating in personal therapy in order to resolve issues or problems).

This policy is applicable to settings and contexts in which evaluation would appropriately occur (e.g., coursework, practicum, supervision), rather than settings and contexts that are unrelated to the formal process of education and training (e.g., non-academic, social contexts). However, irrespective of setting or context, when a student-trainee's conduct clearly and demonstrably (a) impacts the performance, development, or functioning of the student-trainee, (b) raises questions of an ethical nature, (c) represents a risk to public safety, or (d) damages the representation of psychology to the profession or public, appropriate representatives of the program may review such conduct within the context of the program's evaluation processes.

Although the purpose of this policy is to inform students and trainees that evaluation will occur in these areas, it should also be emphasized that a program's evaluation processes and content should typically include: (a) information regarding evaluation processes and standards (e.g., procedures should be consistent and content verifiable); (b) information regarding the primary purpose of evaluation (e.g., to facilitate student or trainee development; to enhance self-awareness, self-reflection, and self-assessment; to emphasize strengths as well as areas for improvement; to assist in the development of remediation plans when necessary); (c) more than one source of information regarding the evaluative area(s) in question (e.g., across supervisors and settings); and (d) opportunities for remediation, provided that faculty, training staff, or supervisors conclude that satisfactory remediation is possible for a given student-trainee. Finally, the criteria, methods, and processes through which student-trainees will be evaluated should be clearly specified in a program's handbook, which should also include information regarding due process policies and procedures (e.g., including, but not limited to, review of a program's evaluation processes and decisions).

COMPETENCY BENCHMARKS IN PROFESSIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

I. PROFESSIONALISM

1. Professional Values and Attitudes: as evidenced in behavior and comportsment that reflect the values and attitudes of psychology.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
1A. Integrity - Honesty, personal responsibility and adherence to professional values		
Understands professional values; honest, responsible	Adherence to professional values infuses work as psychologist-in-training; recognizes situations that challenge adherence to professional values	Monitors and independently resolves situations that challenge professional values and integrity
1B. Deportment		
Understands how to conduct oneself in a professional manner	Communication and physical conduct (including attire) is professionally appropriate, across different settings	Conducts self in a professional manner across settings and situations
1C. Accountability		
Accountable and reliable	Accepts responsibility for own actions	Independently accepts personal responsibility across settings and contexts
1D. Concern for the welfare of others		
Demonstrates awareness of the need to uphold and protect the welfare of others	Acts to understand and safeguard the welfare of others	Independently acts to safeguard the welfare of others
1E. Professional Identity		
Demonstrates beginning understanding of self as professional: “thinking like a psychologist”	Displays emerging professional identity as psychologist; uses resources (e.g., supervision, literature) for professional development	Displays consolidation of professional identity as a psychologist; demonstrates knowledge about issues central to the field; integrates science and practice

2. Individual and Cultural Diversity: Awareness, sensitivity and skills in working professionally with diverse individuals, groups and communities who represent various cultural and personal background and characteristics defined broadly and consistent with APA policy.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
2A. Self as Shaped by Individual and Cultural Diversity (e.g., cultural, individual, and role differences, including those based on age, gender, gender identity, race, ethnicity, culture, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, disability, language, and socioeconomic status) and Context		
Demonstrates knowledge, awareness, and understanding of one’s own dimensions of diversity and attitudes towards diverse others	Monitors and applies knowledge of self as a cultural being in assessment, treatment, and consultation	Independently monitors and applies knowledge of self as a cultural being in assessment, treatment, and consultation
2B. Others as Shaped by Individual and Cultural Diversity and Context		
Demonstrates knowledge, awareness, and understanding of other individuals as cultural beings	Applies knowledge of others as cultural beings in assessment, treatment, and consultation	Independently monitors and applies knowledge of others as cultural beings in assessment, treatment, and consultation
2C. Interaction of Self and Others as Shaped by Individual and Cultural Diversity and Context		
Demonstrates knowledge, awareness, and understanding of interactions between self and diverse others	Applies knowledge of the role of culture in interactions in assessment, treatment, and consultation of diverse others	Independently monitors and applies knowledge of diversity in others as cultural beings in assessment, treatment, and consultation
2D. Applications based on Individual and Cultural Context		
Demonstrates basic knowledge of and sensitivity to the scientific, theoretical, and contextual issues related to ICD (as defined by APA policy) as they apply to professional psychology. Understands the need to consider ICD issues in all aspects of professional psychology work (e.g., assessment, treatment, research, relationships with colleagues)	Applies knowledge, sensitivity, and understanding regarding ICD issues to work effectively with diverse others in assessment, treatment, and consultation	Applies knowledge, skills, and attitudes regarding dimensions of diversity to professional work

3. Ethical Legal Standards and Policy: Application of ethical concepts and awareness of legal issues regarding professional activities with individuals, groups, and organizations.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
3A. Knowledge of ethical, legal and professional standards and guidelines		
Demonstrates basic knowledge of the principles of the APA Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct [ethical practice and basic skills in ethical decision making]; demonstrates beginning level knowledge of legal and regulatory issues in the practice of psychology that apply to practice while placed at practicum setting	Demonstrates intermediate level knowledge and understanding of the APA Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct and other relevant ethical/professional codes, standards and guidelines, laws, statutes, rules, and regulations	Demonstrates advanced knowledge and application of the APA Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct and other relevant ethical, legal and professional standards and guidelines
3B. Awareness and Application of Ethical Decision Making		
Demonstrates awareness of the importance of applying an ethical decision model to practice	Demonstrates knowledge and application of an ethical decision-making model; applies relevant elements of ethical decision making to a dilemma	Independently utilizes an ethical decision-making model in professional work
3C. Ethical Conduct		
Displays ethical attitudes and values	Integrates own moral principles/ethical values in professional conduct	Independently integrates ethical and legal standards with all competencies

4. Reflective Practice/Self-Assessment/Self-Care: Practice conducted with personal and professional self-awareness and reflection; with awareness of competencies; with appropriate self-care.		
4A. Reflective Practice		
Displays basic mindfulness and self-awareness; engages in reflection regarding professional practice	Displays broadened self-awareness; utilizes self-monitoring; engages in reflection regarding professional practice; uses resources to enhance reflectivity	Demonstrates reflectivity both during and after professional activity; acts upon reflection; uses self as a therapeutic tool
4B. Self-Assessment		
Demonstrates knowledge of core competencies; engages in initial self-assessment re: competencies	Demonstrates broad, accurate self-assessment of competence; consistently monitors and evaluates practice activities; works to recognize limits of knowledge/skills, and to seek means to enhance knowledge/skills	Accurately self-assesses competence in all competency domains; integrates self-assessment in practice; recognizes limits of knowledge/skills and acts to address them; has extended plan to enhance knowledge/skills
4C. Self-Care (attention to personal health and well-being to assure effective professional functioning)		
Understands the importance of self-care in effective practice; demonstrates knowledge of self-care methods; attends to self-care	Monitors issues related to self-care with supervisor; understands the central role of self-care to effective practice	Self-monitors issues related to self-care and promptly intervenes when disruptions occur
4D. Participation in Supervision Process		
Demonstrates straightforward, truthful, and respectful communication in supervisory relationship	Effectively participates in supervision	Independently seeks supervision when needed

II. RELATIONAL

Principles for Professional Ethics

5. Relationships: Relate effectively and meaningfully with individuals, groups, and/or communities.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
5A. Interpersonal Relationships		
Displays interpersonal skills	Forms and maintains productive and respectful relationships with clients, peers/colleagues, supervisors and professionals from other disciplines	Develops and maintains effective relationships with a wide range of clients, colleagues, organizations and communities
5B. Affective Skills		
Displays affective skills	Negotiates differences and handles conflict satisfactorily; provides effective feedback to others and receives feedback nondefensively	Manages difficult communication; possesses advanced interpersonal skills
5C. Expressive Skills		
Communicates ideas, feelings, and information clearly using verbal, nonverbal, and written skills	Communicates clearly using verbal, nonverbal, and written skills in a professional context; demonstrates clear understanding and use of professional language	Verbal, nonverbal, and written communications are informative, articulate, succinct, sophisticated, and well-integrated; demonstrate thorough grasp of professional language and concepts

III. SCIENCE

6. Scientific Knowledge and Methods: Understanding of research, research methodology, techniques of data collection and analysis, biological bases of behavior, cognitive-affective bases of behavior, and development across the lifespan. Respect for scientifically derived knowledge.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
6A. Scientific Mindedness		
Displays critical scientific thinking	Values and applies scientific methods to professional practice	Independently applies scientific methods to practice
6B. Scientific Foundation of Psychology		
Demonstrates understanding of psychology as a science	Demonstrates intermediate level knowledge of core science (i.e., scientific bases of behavior)	Demonstrates advanced level knowledge of core science (i.e., scientific bases of behavior)
6C. Scientific Foundation of Professional Practice		
Understands the scientific foundation of professional practice	Demonstrates knowledge, understanding, and application of the concept of evidence-based practice	Independently applies knowledge and understanding of scientific foundations independently applied to practice

7. Research/Evaluation: Generating research that contributes to the professional knowledge base and/or evaluates the effectiveness of various professional activities		
7A. Scientific Approach to Knowledge Generation		
Participates effectively in scientific endeavors when available	Demonstrates development of skills and habits in seeking, applying, and evaluating theoretical and research knowledge relevant to the practice of psychology	Generates knowledge
7B. Application of Scientific Method to Practice		
No expectation at this level	Demonstrates knowledge of application of scientific methods to evaluating practices, interventions, and programs	Applies scientific methods of evaluating practices, interventions, and programs

IV. APPLICATION

8. Evidence-Based Practice: Integration of research and clinical expertise in the context of patient factors.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
8A. Knowledge and Application of Evidence-Based Practice		
Demonstrates basic knowledge of scientific, theoretical, and contextual bases of assessment, intervention and other psychological applications; demonstrates basic knowledge of the value of evidence-based practice and its role in scientific psychology	Applies knowledge of evidence-based practice, including empirical bases of assessment, intervention, and other psychological applications, clinical expertise, and client preferences	Independently applies knowledge of evidence-based practice, including empirical bases of assessment, intervention, and other psychological applications, clinical expertise, and client preferences

9. Assessment: Assessment and diagnosis of problems, capabilities and issues associated with individuals, groups, and/or organizations.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
9A. Knowledge of Measurement and Psychometrics		
Demonstrates basic knowledge of the scientific, theoretical, and contextual basis of test construction and interviewing	Selects assessment measures with attention to issues of reliability and validity	Independently selects and implements multiple methods and means of evaluation in ways that are responsive to and respectful of diverse individuals, couples, families, and groups and context
9B. Knowledge of Assessment Methods		
Demonstrates basic knowledge of administration and scoring of traditional assessment measures, models and techniques, including clinical interviewing and mental status exam	Demonstrates awareness of the strengths and limitations of administration, scoring and interpretation of traditional assessment measures as well as related technological advances	Independently understands the strengths and limitations of diagnostic approaches and interpretation of results from multiple measures for diagnosis and treatment planning
9C. Application of Assessment Methods		
Demonstrates knowledge of measurement across domains of functioning and practice settings	Selects appropriate assessment measures to answer diagnostic question	Independently selects and administers a variety of assessment tools and integrates results to accurately evaluate presenting question appropriate to the practice site and broad area of practice
9D. Diagnosis		
Demonstrates basic knowledge regarding the range of normal and abnormal behavior in the context of stages of human development and diversity	Applies concepts of normal/abnormal behavior to case formulation and diagnosis in the context of stages of human development and diversity	Utilizes case formulation and diagnosis for intervention planning in the context of stages of human development and diversity

Assessment continued		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
9E. Conceptualization and Recommendations		
Demonstrates basic knowledge of formulating diagnosis and case conceptualization	Utilizes systematic approaches of gathering data to inform clinical decision-making	Independently and accurately conceptualizes the multiple dimensions of the case based on the results of assessment
9F. Communication of Assessment Findings		
Demonstrates awareness of models of report writing and progress notes	Writes assessment reports and progress notes and communicates assessment findings verbally to client	Communicates results in written and verbal form clearly, constructively, and accurately in a conceptually appropriate manner

10. Intervention: Interventions designed to alleviate suffering and to promote health and well-being of individuals, groups, and/or organizations.		
10A. Intervention planning		
Displays basic understanding of the relationship between assessment and intervention	Formulates and conceptualizes cases and plans interventions utilizing at least one consistent theoretical orientation	Independently plans interventions; case conceptualizations and intervention plans are specific to case and context
10B. Skills		
Displays basic helping skills	Displays clinical skills	Displays clinical skills with a wide variety of clients and uses good judgment even in unexpected or difficult situations
10C. Intervention Implementation		
Demonstrates basic knowledge of intervention strategies	Implements evidence-based interventions	Implements interventions with fidelity to empirical models and flexibility to adapt where appropriate
10D. Progress Evaluation		
Demonstrates basic knowledge of the assessment of intervention progress and outcome	Evaluates treatment progress and modifies treatment planning as indicated, utilizing established outcome measures	Independently evaluates treatment progress and modifies planning as indicated, even in the absence of established outcome measures

11. Consultation: The ability to provide expert guidance or professional assistance in response to a client's needs or goals.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
11A. Role of Consultant		
No expectation at this level	Demonstrates knowledge of the consultant's role and its unique features as distinguished from other professional roles (such as therapist, supervisor, teacher)	Determines situations that require different role functions and shifts roles accordingly to meet referral needs
11B. Addressing Referral Question		
No expectation at this level	Demonstrates knowledge of and ability to select appropriate means of assessment to answer referral questions	Demonstrates knowledge of and ability to select appropriate and contextually sensitive means of assessment/data gathering that answers consultation referral question
11C. Communication of Consultation Findings		
No expectation at this level	Identifies literature and knowledge about process of informing consultee of assessment findings	Applies knowledge to provide effective assessment feedback and to articulate appropriate recommendations
11D. Application of Consultation Methods		
No expectation at this level	Identifies literature relevant to consultation methods (assessment and intervention) within systems, clients, or settings	Applies literature to provide effective consultative services (assessment and intervention) in most routine and some complex cases

V. EDUCATION

12. Teaching: Providing instruction, disseminating knowledge, and evaluating acquisition of knowledge and skill in professional psychology.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
12A. Knowledge		
No expectation at this level	Demonstrates awareness of theories of learning and how they impact teaching	Demonstrates knowledge of didactic learning strategies and how to accommodate developmental and individual differences
12B. Skills		
No expectation at this level	Demonstrates knowledge of application of teaching methods	Applies teaching methods in multiple settings

13. Supervision: Supervision and training in the professional knowledge base of enhancing and monitoring the professional functioning of others.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
13A. Expectations and Roles		
Demonstrates basic knowledge of expectations for supervision	Demonstrates knowledge of, purpose for, and roles in supervision	Understands the ethical, legal, and contextual issues of the supervisor role
13B. Processes and Procedures		
No expectation at this level	Identifies and tracks progress achieving the goals and tasks of supervision; demonstrates basic knowledge of supervision models and practices	Demonstrates knowledge of supervision models and practices; demonstrates knowledge of and effectively addresses limits of competency to supervise
13C. Skills Development		
Displays interpersonal skills of communication and openness to feedback	Demonstrates knowledge of the supervision literature and how clinicians develop to be skilled professionals	Engages in professional reflection about one's clinical relationships with supervisees, as well as supervisees' relationships with their clients
13D. Supervisory Practices		
No expectation at this level	Provides helpful supervisory input in peer and group supervision	Provides effective supervised supervision to less advanced students, peers, or other service providers in typical cases appropriate to the service setting

VI. SYSTEMS

14. Interdisciplinary Systems: Knowledge of key issues and concepts in related disciplines. Identify and interact with professionals in multiple disciplines.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
14A. Knowledge of the Shared and Distinctive Contributions of Other Professions		
No expectation at this level	Demonstrates beginning, basic knowledge of the viewpoints and contributions of other professions/ professionals	Demonstrates awareness of multiple and differing worldviews, roles, professional standards, and contributions across contexts and systems; demonstrates intermediate level knowledge of common and distinctive roles of other professionals
14B. Functioning in Multidisciplinary and Interdisciplinary Contexts		
Cooperates with others	Demonstrates beginning knowledge of strategies that promote interdisciplinary collaboration vs. multidisciplinary functioning	Demonstrates beginning, basic knowledge of and ability to display the skills that support effective interdisciplinary team functioning
14C. Understands how Participation in Interdisciplinary Collaboration/Consultation Enhances Outcomes		
No expectation at this level	Demonstrates knowledge of how participating in interdisciplinary collaboration/consultation can be directed toward shared goals	Participates in and initiates interdisciplinary collaboration/consultation directed toward shared goals
14D. Respectful and Productive Relationships with Individuals from Other Professions		
Demonstrates awareness of the benefits of forming collaborative relationships with other professionals	Develops and maintains collaborative relationships and respect for other professionals	Develops and maintains collaborative relationships over time despite differences

15. Management-Administration: Manage the direct delivery of services (DDS) and/or the administration of organizations, programs, or agencies (OPA).		
15A. Appraisal of Management and Leadership		
No expectation at this level	Forms autonomous judgment of organization’s management and leadership Examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applies theories of effective management and leadership to form an evaluation of organization • Identifies specific behaviors by management and leadership that promote or detract from organizational effectiveness 	Develops and offers constructive criticism and suggestions regarding management and leadership of organization Examples: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identifies strengths and weaknesses of management and leadership or organization • Provides input appropriately; participates in organizational assessment
15B. Management		
No expectation at this level	Demonstrates awareness of roles of management in organizations	Participates in management of direct delivery of professional services; responds appropriately in management hierarchy
15C. Administration		
Complies with regulations	Demonstrates knowledge of and ability to effectively function within professional settings and organizations, including compliance with policies and procedures	Demonstrates emerging ability to participate in administration of clinical programs
15D. Leadership		
No expectation at this level	No expectation at this level	Participates in system change and management structure

16. Advocacy: Actions targeting the impact of social, political, economic or cultural factors to promote change at the individual (client), institutional, and/or systems level.		
READINESS FOR PRACTICUM	READINESS FOR INTERNSHIP	READINESS FOR ENTRY TO PRACTICE
16A. Empowerment		
Demonstrates awareness of social, political, economic and cultural factors that impact individuals, institutions and systems, in addition to other factors that may lead them to seek intervention	Uses awareness of the social, political, economic or cultural factors that may impact human development in the context of service provision	Intervenes with client to promote action on factors impacting development and functioning
16B. Systems Change		
Understands the differences between individual and institutional level interventions and system's level change	Promotes change to enhance the functioning of individuals	Promotes change at the level of institutions, community, or society

Competency Benchmarks in Professional Psychology
Readiness for Internship Level Rating Form

Trainee Name:

Name of Placement:

Name of Person Completing Form (please include highest degree earned):

Date Evaluation Completed:

Licensed Psychologist: Yes No

Was this trainee supervised by individuals also under your supervision? Yes No

Type of Review:

Initial Review

Mid-placement
review

Final Review

Other (please describe):

Dates of Training Experience this Review Covers: _____

Training Level of Person Being Assessed: Year in Doctoral Program:

Rate each item by responding to the following question using the scale below:

How characteristic of the trainee’s behavior is this competency description?

Not at All/Slightly 0	Somewhat 1	Moderately 2	Mostly 3	Very 4
--------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------------	-----------

If you have not had the opportunity to observe a behavior in question, please indicate this by circling “No Opportunity to Observe” [N/O].

Near the end of the rating form, you will have the opportunity to provide a narrative evaluation of the trainee’s current level of competence.

FOUNDATIONAL COMPETENCIES

I. PROFESSIONALISM

1. Professionalism: as evidenced in behavior and comportment that reflect the values and attitudes of psychology.						
1A. Integrity - Honesty, personal responsibility and adherence to professional values						
Adherence to professional values infuses work as psychologist-in-training; recognizes situations that challenge adherence to professional values	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
1B. Deportment						
Communication and physical conduct (including attire) is professionally appropriate, across different settings	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
1C. Accountability						
Accepts responsibility for own actions	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
1D. Concern for the welfare of others						
Acts to understand and safeguard the welfare of others	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
11E. Professional Identity						
Displays emerging professional identity as psychologist; uses resources (e.g., supervision, literature) for professional development	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
2. Individual and Cultural Diversity: Awareness, sensitivity and skills in working professionally with diverse individuals, groups and communities who represent various cultural and personal background and characteristics defined broadly and consistent with APA policy.						
2A. Self as Shaped by Individual and Cultural Diversity (e.g., cultural, individual, and role differences, including those based on age, gender, gender identity, race, ethnicity, culture, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, disability, language, and socioeconomic status) and Context						
Monitors and applies knowledge of self as a cultural being in	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

assessment, treatment, and consultation							
2B. Others as Shaped by Individual and Cultural Diversity and Context							
Applies knowledge of others as cultural beings in assessment, treatment, and consultation	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
2C. Interaction of Self and Others as Shaped by Individual and Cultural Diversity and Context							
Applies knowledge of the role of culture in interactions in assessment, treatment, and consultation of diverse others	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
2D. Applications based on Individual and Cultural Context							
Applies knowledge, sensitivity, and understanding regarding ICD issues to work effectively with diverse others in assessment, treatment, and consultation	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
3. Ethical Legal Standards and Policy: Application of ethical concepts and awareness of legal issues regarding professional activities with individuals, groups, and organizations.							
3A. Knowledge of Ethical, Legal and Professional Standards and Guidelines							
Demonstrates intermediate level knowledge and understanding of the APA Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct and other relevant ethical/professional codes, standards and guidelines, laws, statutes, rules, and regulations	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
3B. Awareness and Application of Ethical Decision Making							
Demonstrates knowledge and application of an ethical decision-making model; applies relevant elements of ethical decision making to a dilemma	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
3C. Ethical Conduct							
Integrates own moral principles/ethical values in professional conduct	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
4. Reflective Practice/Self-Assessment/Self-Care: Practice conducted with personal and professional self-awareness and reflection; with awareness of competencies; with appropriate self-care.							
4A. Reflective Practice							
Displays broadened self-awareness; utilizes self-monitoring; displays reflectivity regarding professional practice (reflection-on-action); uses resources to enhance reflectivity; demonstrates elements of reflection-in-action	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
4B. Self-Assessment							
Demonstrates broad, accurate self-assessment of competence; consistently monitors and evaluates practice activities; works to recognize limits of knowledge/skills, and to seek means to enhance knowledge/skills	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
4C. Self-Care (attention to personal health and well-being to assure effective professional functioning)							
Monitors issues related to self-care with supervisor; understands the central role of self-care to effective practice	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
4D. Participation in Supervision Process							
Effectively participates in supervision	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]

II. RELATIONAL

5. Relationships: Relate effectively and meaningfully with individuals, groups, and/or communities.						
5A. Interpersonal Relationships						
Forms and maintains productive and respectful relationships with clients, peers/colleagues, supervisors and professionals from other disciplines	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
5B. Affective Skills						
Negotiates differences and handles conflict satisfactorily; provides effective feedback to others and receives feedback nondefensively	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
5C. Expressive Skills						
Communicates clearly using verbal, nonverbal, and written skills in a professional context; demonstrates clear understanding and use of professional language	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

III. SCIENCE

6. Scientific Knowledge and Methods: Understanding of research, research methodology, techniques of data collection and analysis, biological bases of behavior, cognitive-affective bases of behavior, and development across the lifespan. Respect for scientifically derived knowledge.						
6A. Scientific Mindedness						
Values and applies scientific methods to professional practice	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
6B. Scientific Foundation of Psychology						
Demonstrates intermediate level knowledge of core science (i.e., scientific bases of behavior)	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
6C. Scientific Foundation of Professional Practice						
Demonstrates knowledge, understanding, and application of the concept of evidence-based practice	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
7. Research/Evaluation: Generating research that contributes to the professional knowledge base and/or evaluates the effectiveness of various professional activities.						
7A. Scientific Approach to Knowledge Generation						
Demonstrates development of skills and habits in seeking, applying, and evaluating theoretical and research knowledge relevant to the practice of psychology	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
7B. Application of Scientific Method to Practice						
Demonstrates knowledge of application of scientific methods to evaluating practices, interventions, and programs	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

FUNCTIONAL COMPETENCIES

IV. APPLICATION

8. Evidence-Based Practice: Integration of research and clinical expertise in the context of patient factors.						
8A. Knowledge and Application of Evidence-Based Practice						
Applies knowledge of evidence-based practice, including empirical bases of assessment, intervention, and other psychological applications, clinical expertise, and client preferences	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9. Assessment: Assessment and diagnosis of problems, capabilities and issues associated with individuals, groups, and/or organizations.						
9A. Knowledge of Measurement and Psychometrics						
Selects assessment measures with attention to issues of reliability and validity	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9B. Knowledge of Assessment Methods						
Demonstrates awareness of the strengths and limitations of administration, scoring and interpretation of traditional assessment measures as well as related technological advances	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9C. Application of Assessment Methods						
Selects appropriate assessment measures to answer diagnostic question	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9D. Diagnosis						
Applies concepts of normal/abnormal behavior to case formulation and diagnosis in the context of stages of human development and diversity	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9E. Conceptualization and Recommendations						
Utilizes systematic approaches of gathering data to inform clinical decision-making	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9F. Communication of Assessment Findings						
Writes adequate assessment reports and progress notes and communicates assessment findings verbally to client	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
10. Intervention: Interventions designed to alleviate suffering and to promote health and well-being of individuals, groups, and/or organizations.						
10A. Intervention planning						
Formulates and conceptualizes cases and plans interventions utilizing at least one consistent theoretical orientation	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
10B. Skills						
Displays clinical skills	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
10C. Intervention Implementation						
Implements evidence-based interventions	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
10D. Progress Evaluation						

Evaluates treatment progress and modifies treatment planning as indicated, utilizing established outcome measures	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
11. Consultation: The ability to provide expert guidance or professional assistance in response to a client's needs or goals.						
11A. Role of Consultant						
Demonstrates knowledge of the consultant's role and its unique features as distinguished from other professional roles (such as therapist, supervisor, teacher)	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
11B. Addressing Referral Question						
Demonstrates knowledge of and ability to select appropriate means of assessment to answer referral questions	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
11C. Communication of Consultation Findings						
Identifies literature and knowledge about process of informing consultee of assessment findings	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
11D. Application of Consultation Methods						
Identifies literature relevant to consultation methods (assessment and intervention) within systems, clients, or settings	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

V. EDUCATION

12. Teaching: Providing instruction, disseminating knowledge, and evaluating acquisition of knowledge and skill in professional psychology.						
12A. Knowledge						
Demonstrates awareness of theories of learning and how they impact teaching	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
12B. Skills						
Demonstrates knowledge of application of teaching methods	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
13. Supervision: Supervision and training in the professional knowledge base of enhancing and monitoring the professional functioning of others.						
13A. Expectations and Roles						
Demonstrates knowledge of, purpose for, and roles in supervision	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
13B. Processes and Procedures						
Identifies and tracks progress achieving the goals and tasks of supervision; demonstrates basic knowledge of supervision models and practices	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
13C. Skills Development						
Demonstrates knowledge of the supervision literature and how clinicians develop to be skilled professionals	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
13D. Supervisory Practices						
Provides helpful supervisory input in peer and group supervision	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

VI. SYSTEMS

14. Interdisciplinary Systems: Knowledge of key issues and concepts in related disciplines. Identify and interact with professionals in multiple disciplines.						
14A. Knowledge of the Shared and Distinctive Contributions of Other Professions						
Demonstrates beginning, basic knowledge of the viewpoints and contributions of other professions/professionals	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
14B. Functioning in Multidisciplinary and Interdisciplinary Contexts						
Demonstrates beginning knowledge of strategies that promote interdisciplinary collaboration vs. multidisciplinary functioning	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
14C. Understands how Participation in Interdisciplinary Collaboration/Consultation Enhances Outcomes						
Demonstrates knowledge of how participating in interdisciplinary collaboration/consultation can be directed toward shared goals	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
14D. Respectful and Productive Relationships with Individuals from Other Professions						
Develops and maintains collaborative relationships and respect for other professionals	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
15. Management-Administration: Manage the direct delivery of services (DDS) and/or the administration of organizations, programs, or agencies (OPA).						
15A. Appraisal of Management and Leadership						
Forms autonomous judgment of organization's management and leadership	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
15B. Management						
Demonstrates awareness of roles of management in organizations	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
15C. Administration						
Demonstrates knowledge of and ability to effectively function within professional settings and organizations, including compliance with policies and procedures	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
16. Advocacy: Actions targeting the impact of social, political, economic or cultural factors to promote change at the individual (client), institutional, and/or systems level.						
16A. Empowerment						
Uses awareness of the social, political, economic or cultural factors that may impact human development in the context of service provision	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
16B. Systems Change						
Promotes change to enhance the functioning of individuals	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

Overall Assessment of Trainee's Current Level of Competence

Please provide a brief narrative summary of your overall impression of this trainee's current level of competence. In your narrative, please be sure to address the following questions:

- What are the trainee's particular strengths and weaknesses?
- Do you believe that the trainee has reached the level of competence expected by the program at this point in training?
- If applicable, is the trainee ready to move to the next level of training, or independent practice?

Competency Benchmarks in Professional Psychology
Readiness for Entry to Practice Level Rating Form

Trainee Name:

Name of Placement:

Name of Person Completing Form (please include highest degree earned):

Date Evaluation Completed:

Licensed Psychologist: Yes No

Was this trainee supervised by individuals also under your supervision? Yes No

Type of Review:

Initial Review

Mid-placement
review

Final Review

Other (please describe):

Dates of Training Experience this Review Covers: _____

Training Level of Person Being Assessed: Year in Doctoral Program:

Intern:

Rate each item by responding to the following question using the scale below:

How characteristic of the trainee's behavior is this competency description?

Not at All/Slightly Somewhat Moderately Mostly Very
 0 1 2 3 4

If you have not had the opportunity to observe a behavior in question, please indicate this by circling "No Opportunity to Observe" [N/O].

Near the end of the rating form, you will have the opportunity to provide a narrative evaluation of the trainee's current level of competence.

FOUNDATIONAL COMPETENCIES

I. PROFESSIONALISM

1. Professionalism: as evidenced in behavior and comportment that reflects the values and attitudes of psychology.						
1A. Integrity - Honesty, personal responsibility and adherence to professional values						
Monitors and independently resolves situations that challenge professional values and integrity	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
1B. Deportment						
Conducts self in a professional manner across settings and situations	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
1C. Accountability						
Independently accepts personal responsibility across settings and contexts	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
1D. Concern for the welfare of others						
Independently acts to safeguard the welfare of others	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
1E. Professional Identity						
Displays consolidation of professional identity as a psychologist; demonstrates knowledge about issues central to the field; integrates science and practice	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
2. Individual and Cultural Diversity: Awareness, sensitivity and skills in working professionally with diverse individuals, groups and communities who represent various cultural and personal background and characteristics defined broadly and consistent with APA policy.						
2A. Self as Shaped by Individual and Cultural Diversity (e.g., cultural, individual, and role differences, including those based on age, gender, gender identity, race, ethnicity, culture, national origin, religion, sexual orientation, disability, language, and socioeconomic status) and Context						
Independently monitors and applies knowledge of self as a cultural being in assessment, treatment, and consultation	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

2B. Others as Shaped by Individual and Cultural Diversity and Context						
Independently monitors and applies knowledge of others as cultural beings in assessment, treatment, and consultation	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
2C. Interaction of Self and Others as Shaped by Individual and Cultural Diversity and Context						
Independently monitors and applies knowledge of diversity in others as cultural beings in assessment, treatment, and consultation	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
2D. Applications based on Individual and Cultural Context						
Applies knowledge, skills, and attitudes regarding dimensions of diversity to professional work	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
3. Ethical Legal Standards and Policy: Application of ethical concepts and awareness of legal issues regarding professional activities with individuals, groups, and organizations.						
3A. Knowledge of Ethical, Legal and Professional Standards and Guidelines						
Demonstrates advanced knowledge and application of the APA Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct and other relevant ethical, legal and professional standards and guidelines	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
3B. Awareness and Application of Ethical Decision Making						
Independently utilizes an ethical decision-making model in professional work	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
3C. Ethical Conduct						
Independently integrates ethical and legal standards with all competencies	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
4. Reflective Practice/Self-Assessment/Self-Care: Practice conducted with personal and professional self-awareness and reflection; with awareness of competencies; with appropriate self-care.						
4A. Reflective Practice						
Demonstrates reflectivity in context of professional practice (reflection-in-action); acts upon reflection; uses self as a therapeutic tool	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
4B. Self-Assessment						
Accurately self-assesses competence in all competency domains; integrates self-assessment in practice; recognizes limits of knowledge/skills and acts to address them; has extended plan to enhance knowledge/skills	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
4C. Self-Care (attention to personal health and well-being to assure effective professional functioning)						
Self-monitors issues related to self-care and promptly intervenes when disruptions occur	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
4D. Participation in Supervision Process						
Independently seeks supervision when needed	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

II. RELATIONAL

5. Relationships: Relate effectively and meaningfully with individuals, groups, and/or communities.						
5A. Interpersonal Relationships						
Develops and maintains effective relationships with a wide range of clients, colleagues, organizations and communities	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
5B. Affective Skills						
Manages difficult communication; possesses advanced interpersonal skills	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
5C. Expressive Skills						
Verbal, nonverbal, and written communications are informative, articulate, succinct, sophisticated, and well-integrated; demonstrates thorough grasp of professional language and concepts	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

III. SCIENCE

6. Scientific Knowledge and Methods: Understanding of research, research methodology, techniques of data collection and analysis, biological bases of behavior, cognitive-affective bases of behavior, and development across the lifespan. Respect for scientifically derived knowledge.						
6A. Scientific Mindedness						
Independently applies scientific methods to practice	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
6B. Scientific Foundation of Psychology						
Demonstrates advanced level knowledge of core science (i.e., scientific bases of behavior)	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
6C. Scientific Foundation of Professional Practice						
Independently applies knowledge and understanding of scientific foundations to practice	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
7. Research/Evaluation: Generating research that contributes to the professional knowledge base and/or evaluates the effectiveness of various professional activities.						
7A. Scientific Approach to Knowledge Generation						
Generates knowledge	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
7B. Application of Scientific Method to Practice						
Applies scientific methods of evaluating practices, interventions, and programs	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

FUNCTIONAL COMPETENCIES

IV. APPLICATION

8. Evidence-Based Practice: Integration of research and clinical expertise in the context of patient factors.						
8A. Knowledge and Application of Evidence-Based Practice						
Independently applies knowledge of evidence-based practice, including empirical bases of assessment, intervention, and other psychological applications, clinical expertise, and client preferences	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9. Assessment: Assessment and diagnosis of problems, capabilities and issues associated with individuals, groups, and/or organizations.						
9A. Knowledge of Measurement and Psychometrics						
Independently selects and implements multiple methods and means of evaluation in ways that are responsive to and respectful of diverse individuals, couples, families, and groups and context	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9B. Knowledge of Assessment Methods						
Independently understands the strengths and limitations of diagnostic approaches and interpretation of results from multiple measures for diagnosis and treatment planning	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9C. Application of Assessment Methods						
Independently selects and administers a variety of assessment tools and integrates results to accurately evaluate presenting question appropriate to the practice site and broad area of practice	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9D. Diagnosis						
Utilizes case formulation and diagnosis for intervention planning in the context of stages of human development and diversity	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9E. Conceptualization and Recommendations						
Independently and accurately conceptualizes the multiple dimensions of the case based on the results of assessment	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
9F. Communication of Assessment Findings						
Communicates results in written and verbal form clearly, constructively, and accurately in a conceptually appropriate manner	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
10. Intervention: Interventions designed to alleviate suffering and to promote health and well-being of individuals, groups, and/or organizations.						
10A. Intervention planning						
Independently plans interventions; case conceptualizations and intervention plans are specific to case and context	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
10B. Skills						
Displays clinical skills with a wide variety of clients and uses good judgment even in unexpected or difficult situations	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

10C. Intervention Implementation						
Implements interventions with fidelity to empirical models and flexibility to adapt where appropriate	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
10D. Progress Evaluation						
Independently evaluates treatment progress and modifies planning as indicated, even in the absence of established outcome measures	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
11. Consultation: The ability to provide expert guidance or professional assistance in response to a client's needs or goals.						
11A. Role of Consultant						
Determines situations that require different role functions and shifts roles accordingly to meet referral needs	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
11B. Addressing Referral Question						
Demonstrates knowledge of and ability to select appropriate and contextually sensitive means of assessment/data gathering that answers consultation referral question	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
11C. Communication of Consultation Findings						
Applies knowledge to provide effective assessment feedback and to articulate appropriate recommendations	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
11D. Application of Consultation Methods						
Applies literature to provide effective consultative services (assessment and intervention) in most routine and some complex cases	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

V. EDUCATION

12. Teaching: Providing instruction, disseminating knowledge, and evaluating acquisition of knowledge and skill in professional psychology.						
12A. Knowledge						
Demonstrates knowledge of didactic learning strategies and how to accommodate developmental and individual differences	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
12B. Skills						
Applies teaching methods in multiple settings	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
13. Supervision: Supervision and training in the professional knowledge base of enhancing and monitoring the professional functioning of others.						
13A. Expectations and Roles						
Understands the ethical, legal, and contextual issues of the supervisor role	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
13B. Processes and Procedures						
Demonstrates knowledge of supervision models and practices; demonstrates knowledge of and effectively addresses limits of	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

competency to supervise							
13C. Skills Development							
Engages in professional reflection about one's clinical relationships with supervisees, as well as supervisees' relationships with their clients	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
13D. Supervisory Practices							
Provides effective supervised supervision to less advanced students, peers, or other service providers in typical cases appropriate to the service setting	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]

VI. SYSTEMS

14. Interdisciplinary Systems: Knowledge of key issues and concepts in related disciplines. Identify and interact with professionals in multiple disciplines.							
14A. Knowledge of the Shared and Distinctive Contributions of Other Professions							
Demonstrates awareness of multiple and differing worldviews, roles, professional standards, and contributions across contexts and systems; demonstrates intermediate level knowledge of common and distinctive roles of other professionals	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
14B. Functioning in Multidisciplinary and Interdisciplinary Contexts							
Demonstrates beginning, basic knowledge of and ability to display the skills that support effective interdisciplinary team functioning	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
14C. Understands how Participation in Interdisciplinary Collaboration/Consultation Enhances Outcomes							
Participates in and initiates interdisciplinary collaboration/consultation directed toward shared goals	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
14D. Respectful and Productive Relationships with Individuals from Other Professions							
Develops and maintains collaborative relationships over time despite differences	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
15. Management-Administration: Manage the direct delivery of services (DDS) and/or the administration of organizations, programs, or agencies (OPA).							
15A. Appraisal of Management and Leadership							
Develops and offers constructive criticism and suggestions regarding management and leadership of organization	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
15B. Management							
Participates in management of direct delivery of professional services; responds appropriately in management hierarchy	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
15C. Administration							
Demonstrates emerging ability to participate in administration of service delivery program	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]
15D. Leadership							
Participates in system change and management structure	0	1	2	3	4		[N/O]

16. Advocacy: Actions targeting the impact of social, political, economic or cultural factors to promote change at the individual (client), institutional, and/or systems level.						
16A. Empowerment						
Intervenes with client to promote action on factors impacting development and functioning	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]
16B. Systems Change						
Promotes change at the level of institutions, community, or society	0	1	2	3	4	[N/O]

Overall Assessment of Trainee’s Current Level of Competence

Please provide a brief narrative summary of your overall impression of this trainee’s current level of competence. In your narrative, please be sure to address the following questions:

- What are the trainee’s particular strengths and weaknesses?
- Do you believe that the trainee has reached the level of competence expected by the program at this point in training?
- If applicable, is the trainee ready to move to the next level of training, or independent practice?

APPENDIX C

Leave of Absence request

Course substitution application

Program planning worksheets

Doctoral with existing masters

Doctoral

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
Return completed form and 3 copies to:
Graduate Records Office
302 Park Building
201 South President's Circle
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

GRADUATE SCHOOL

**GRADUATE STUDENT
REQUEST FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

_____ Date
(a) Student Name _____ UofU ID# _____
Address _____
Street City State Zip
Department _____

(b) Semester(s) for which leave of absence is requested: _____
Expected date for next regular registration _____
Semester Year

(c) Approval Signatures:
Chair of Supervisory Committee _____
Department Chair _____

Justification for leave of absence:

Instructions:

Student: Compete parts (a) and (b) of the form and obtain signatures from the chair of your supervisory committee and department.

If you are currently registered for classes in the semester for which you are requesting a Leave, you must withdraw from classes at the Registration Services Windows, 250 North Student Services Building.

It is your responsibility to either register for the next regular semester following this leave of absence, or request an extension of this leave in writing from your department. If you fail to register or request an extension, you will be required to be readmitted to the Graduate School before further registration can be initiated. Leaves are granted for a maximum of one year.

Retroactive leaves or absences are not granted.

Department: Complete () providing justification and signatures and forward to the Graduate Records Office 302 Park Building

Graduate School	
<input type="checkbox"/> Approved	_____ Date
<input type="checkbox"/> Denied	_____ Signature

University of Utah
Department of Educational Psychology
School Psychology Program

Petition for Course Substitution

Student Name: _____

Date: _____

1. Please identify the required U of U course you would like the instructor and Program Director to consider for substitution:
Course Number: _____
Course Title: _____
Number of Credit Hours: _____

2. Please identify the course considered to be equivalent to the U of U course:
Course Number: _____
Course Title: _____
Number of Credit Hours: _____
Institution where taken: _____
Date course taken: _____
Grade in course: _____

3. Attach a copy of the original course syllabus and any other information regarding significant aspects of this course not apparent on the syllabus. (Note: Courses taken more than 10 years previously will not be considered for substitution).

4. Submit this material to the instructor who typically teaches the U of U course. The instructor will examine the attached documentation and make a determination regarding a recommendation for substitution or not. The instructor will then forward the petition to the School Psychology Program Director, who will make a final determination regarding the equivalence of the course. If the instructor and the Program Director disagree, the petition will go to the full School Psychology Faculty for a vote. In some instances, it may be difficult for the instructor and/or Program Director to determine course equivalency, in which case the student may be required to pass an equivalency exam before substitution is approved.

5. After a determination has been made, the student will be required to sign this petition, which will be placed in the student's departmental file. The student will also receive a copy after a final determination has been made.

Course substitution recommended: Yes _____ No _____

Comments:

Instructor's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Course substitution recommended: Yes _____ No _____

Comments:

SP Program Director's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Additional Comments/Actions:

Final Determination:

Course Substitution Approved: _____

Course Substitution Denied: _____

Student's Signature: _____ Date: _____

SP Program Director's Signature: _____ Date: _____

University of Utah
 School Psychology Doctoral Program
 Program Planning Worksheet 2013-2014
 (for students with conferred Master's degree)

Name _____ Year of Admission _____
 Master's Degree _____ Date Received _____ Institution _____

Area: Scientific and Professional Standards and Ethics (minimum 6 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
*EDPS 7080 History and Systems of Psychology (3)		
*EDPS 7100 Professional Issues and Ethics in School Psychology (3)		

Area: Cognitive-Affective Bases of Behavior (minimum 3 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
*EDPS 7863 – Cognitive and Affective Basis of Behavior (3)		

Area: Social Bases of Behavior (minimum 3 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
*EDPS 7550 Social Psychology of Human Diversity (3)		

Area: Individual Differences (minimum 6 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
*EDPS 7450 Child and Adolescent Psychopathology (3)		
*EDPS 7050 Life Span Development (3)		

Area: *Biological Bases of Behavior* (minimum 3 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
EDPS 7160 Neuropsychological Bases of Behavior (3)		
Or PSYCH 6700 Human Neuropsychology (4)		

Area: *Statistics* (minimum 9 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
*ED PS 7010 Quantitative Methods I: Intro to Inferential Statistics (3)		
*ED PS 7020 Quantitative Methods II: ANOVA/Multiple Regression (6)		

Area: *Research Design* (minimum 3 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
ED PS 7400 Advanced Research Design (3)		
ED PS 7410 Single Subject Research Design (3) (optional)		

Area: *Educational Foundations* (minimum 3 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
*SPED 6040 Legal and Policy Issues Spec Ed (3)		

Area: *Psychoeducational Assessment* (minimum 12 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
*ED PS 7300 Psychometric Theory (3)		
*EDPS 7130 Cognitive Assessment (3)		
*EDPS 7150 Individual Child/Adolescent Assessment (3)		

*EDPS 7140 Multicultural Assessment (3)		
EDPS 7190 Applied Neuropsychological Assessment (3) (optional)		
EDPS 7180 Personality Assessment (3) (optional)		

Area: *Intervention Strategies* (minimum 12 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
*EDPS 7390 Interventions in the Schools (3)		
*EDPS 7470 Consultation and Supervision in Applied Settings (3)		
*EDPS 7110 Child/Family Psychotherapy/Counseling Interventions (3)		
*EDPS 7380 Academic Assessment/Interventions for Students w/Learning Diff. (3)		
ED PS 7836 Seminar: Autism Spectrum Disorders (3)		
EDPS 7250 Family Counseling for School-Based Problems (optional)		
EDPS 6360 Multicultural Counseling (3)		
EDPS 6200 Counseling Theories and Procedures (3) (optional)		
EDPS 6210 Counseling Skills (3) (optional)		

Area: *Professional School Psychology* (minimum 27 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
*ED PS 7831 Seminar in School Psychology (3)		
*ED PS 7730 School Psychology		

Practicum: Clinic (4)		
*ED PS 7731 School Psychology Field Practicum (4)		
*ED PS 7910 Internship in School Psychology (16)		
*ED PS 7836 Special Topics: Autism Spectrum Disorders (3)		

Area: *Doctoral Dissertation Research* (minimum 14 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Graduate Course Transfer</i>	<i>Initial Approval:</i>
*ED PS 7970 Dissertation Research: PhD (14) and *EDPS 7732 Research Practicum (4)		

Doctoral Student

Date

School Psychology Faculty Advisor

Date

School Psychology Program Director

Date

University of Utah
 School Psychology Doctoral Program
 Program Planning Worksheet 2013-2014

Name _____ Year of Admission _____
 Entering Degree _____ Date Received _____ Institution _____

Area: Scientific and Professional Standards and Ethics (minimum 6 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
*ED PS 7080 History and Systems of Psychology (3)		
*ED PS 7100 Professional Issues and Ethics in School Psychology (3)		

Area: Cognitive-Affective Bases of Behavior (minimum 3 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
*EDPS 7863 – Cognitive and Affective Basis of Behavior (3)		

Area: Social Bases of Behavior (minimum 3 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
*ED PS 7550 Social Psychology of Human Diversity (3)		

Area: Individual Differences (minimum 6 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
*ED PS 7450 Child and Adolescent Psychopathology (3)		
*EDPS 7050 Life Span Development (3)		

Area: Biological Bases of Behavior (minimum 3 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
ED PS 7160 Neuropsychological Bases of Behavior (3)		
Or PSYCH 6700 Human Neuropsychology (4)		

Area: Statistics (minimum 9 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
*ED PS 7010 Quantitative Methods I (3)		
*ED PS 7020 Quantitative Methods II (6)		

Area: Research Design (minimum 3 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
ED PS 7400 Advanced Research Design (3)		
ED PS 7410 Single Subject Research Design (3) (optional)		

Area: Educational Foundations (minimum 3 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
*SPED 6040 Legal and Policy Issues Spec Ed (3)		

Area: Psychoeducational Assessment (minimum 12 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade:</i>
*ED PS 7300 Psychometric Theory (3)		
*ED PS 7130 Cognitive Assessment (3)		
*ED PS 7150 Individual Child/Adolescent Assessment (3)		
*ED PS 7140 Multicultural Assessment (3)		
ED PS 7190 Applied Neuropsychological Assessment (3)		

(optional)		
ED PS 7180 Personality Assessment (3) (optional)		

Area: *Intervention Strategies* (minimum 12 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
*ED PS 7390 Interventions in the Schools (3)		
*EDPS 7470 Consultation and Supervision in Applied Settings (3)		
*EDPS 7110 Child/Family Psychotherapy/Counseling Interventions (3)		
*EDPS 7380 Academic Assessment/Interventions for Students w/Learning Diff. (3)		
ED PS 7836 Seminar: Autism Spectrum Disorders (3)		
EDPS 7250 Family Counseling for School-Based Problems (optional)		
EDPS 6360 Multicultural Counseling (3) (optional)		
EDPS 6200 Counseling Theories and Procedures (3) (optional)		
EDPS 6210 Counseling Skills (3) (optional)		

Area: *Professional School Psychology* (minimum 29 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
*ED PS 7831 Seminar in School Psychology (3)		
*ED PS 7730 School Psychology Practicum: Clinic (4)		
*ED PS 7731 School Psychology Field Practicum (4)		
*EDPS 7910 Internship in School Psychology (16)		

*ED PS 7836 Special Topics: Autism Spectrum Disorders (3)		
---	--	--

Area: *Master's Thesis Research* (minimum 6 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
*EDPS 6970 Graduate Thesis: Master's (6) Or *EDPS 7732 Research Practicum (4)		

Area: *Doctoral Dissertation Research* (minimum 14 semester hours)

<i>Course Requirements</i>	<i>Planned/Actual Semester of Enrollment</i>	<i>Final Grade</i>
*EDPS 7970 Thesis Research: PhD (14)		

Doctoral Student

Date

School Psychology Faculty Advisor

Date

Projected Courses and Timeline

<i>Year 1</i>	
Fall Semester	
Spring Semester	
Summer Semester	

<i>Year 2</i>	
Fall Semester	
Spring Semester	
Summer Semester	

<i>Year 3</i>	
---------------	--

Fall Semester	
Spring Semester	
Summer Semester	

<i>Year 4</i>	
Fall Semester	
Spring Semester	
Summer Semester	

<i>Year 5</i>	
Fall Semester	
Spring Semester	
Summer Semester	

<i>Year 6</i>	
Fall Semester	
Spring Semester	
Summer Semester	

SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM RESEARCH GRANT APPLICATION

NAME:	PHONE NUMBER:
ADDRESS:	CITY, STATE, ZIP:
DISSERTATION SUPERVISOR	HOME ADDRESS:
START DATE OF PROJECT:	END DATE:
TITLE:	
Amount Requested (MAX. \$500.00)	Amount Approved

Fund: Diff Tuition ___ Other ___	District Approval (if appropriate): <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pending	IRB Approval <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Pending	Location of Study:
---	--	---	-----------------------------------

PROJECTED STUDY EXPENSES (MATERIALS, POSTAGE, EQUIPMENT, SOFTWARE, ETC.)

OTHER SOURCES OF FUNDING (GRANTS, ETC.)

	ATTACH RECEIPTS:
Approved: _____ Faculty Representative	

Appendix D

Professional/Academic Misconduct Procedures

Professional Misconduct

Any engagement in professional misconduct as defined in Part I.B. (abbreviated, always consult the full code at <http://www.admin.utah.edu/ppmanual/8/8-10.html>)

Step 1: Complaining party files a written complaint with office of the dean of the student's college.
TIME: Within 45 days of discovery of violation

Step 2: Dean of college (or designee) determines validity of complaint.
TIME: Within 20 days of receipt of complaint.

Step 3a: Dean does not believe student engaged in professional misconduct.
MATTER IS CLOSED.

Step 3b: Dean of college and student are able to informally resolve the issue.
Dean implements the decision.

Step 4: If the dean believes the complaint is valid and informal resolution does not work, the Dean files a formal written complaint against student, and the student may, within 5 days, file a response. The documents are brought before the Academic Appeals Committee.
TIME: Within 20 days of receipt of complaint.

Step 5: The Committee chair determines if a hearing is necessary, and if so, schedules a hearing date.
TIME: Within a reasonable time after receiving complaint and recommendations and response.

Step 6: The parties make available to each other and the Committee a list of witnesses and documents.
TIME: At least five days prior to the hearing.

Step 7: The Committee shall make its findings and recommendations, and refer those to the Cognizant Senior Vice President.
TIME: Within 10 days after conclusion of hearing.

Step 8: The Vice President reviews and either accepts Committee's findings, asks Committee to reconvene and clarify, or rejects Committee's findings, and makes a new decision.
TIME: Within 10 days of receipt of the Committee's recommendation.

Step 9a: Student and dean accept decision of Vice President.
Dean implements the decision.

Step 9b: Student or dean appeals decision of Vice President to President.
TIME: Within 10 days of receipt of decision.

Step 10: The President reviews and either accepts the VP's decision, asks the VP for further clarification, or rejects the decision.
TIME: Within 10 days of receipt of the appeal.

Step 11: The dean shall take appropriate action to implement the final decision. If the student is found responsible for professional misconduct, the dean shall notify the student's department or program of study. If the sanction involves suspension, dismissal or a revoked degree/certificate, the dean shall also notify the office of the registrar for appropriate notation on the transcript.
TIME: Within a reasonable time after receiving final decision.

Academic Performance

For appeals of grades and other academic actions as defined in Part I.B.#1 (abbreviated, always consult the full code at <http://www.admin.utah.edu/ppmanual/8/8-10.html>)

Step 1: A student who believes the academic action taken was arbitrary or capricious should discuss the action with the faculty member and attempt to informally resolve the situation.
TIME: Within 20 days of notice of academic action.

Step 2a: Student and faculty member informally resolve.
TIME: Within 10 days of contacting faculty member.
MATTER IS CLOSED.

Step 2b: If student and faculty are unable to resolve, student may appeal action to Chair of relevant department.
TIME: Within 40 days of notice of academic action.

Step 3: In case of appeal, Chair notifies the student and faculty member of his/her determination of whether the academic action was arbitrary or capricious.
TIME: Within 15 days of consulting with the student.

Step 4a: Chair determines action was arbitrary or capricious. Faculty does not appeal.
TIME: Shortly after 15 days from chair's decision.
Chair implements decision.

Step 4b: Chair determines action was justified. Student does not appeal.
MATTER IS CLOSED.

Step 5: If either party disagrees with Chair's determination, or if Chair does not respond, that party may appeal to the Academic Appeals Committee by filing written notice; other party files a response.
TIME: Within 15 days of notice of Chair's decision, or after 15 days of non-action by Chair.

Step 6: If the Committee chair determines that a hearing is required, the chair shall schedule a hearing date and notify the parties.
TIME: Within a reasonable time after Committee's receipt.

Step 7: Parties make available to each other and Committee a list of witnesses and documents.
TIME: At least 5 days prior to the hearing.

Step 8: The Committee shall make its findings and recommendations, and refer those to the dean of college.
TIME: Within 10 days after conclusion of hearing.

Step 9: The dean reviews and either accepts Committee's findings, asks Committee to reconvene and clarify, or rejects Committee's findings, and makes a decision.
TIME: Within 10 days of receipt of the Committee's recommendation.

Step 10a: Student and faculty member accept decision of dean of college.
Dean or Chair implements the decision.

Step 10b: Student or faculty member appeals decision of dean to cognizant senior vice president.
TIME: Within 10 days of receipt of decision.

Step 11: The cognizant senior vice president reviews and either accepts the dean's decision, asks the dean for further clarification, or rejects the decision and makes a new one.
TIME: Within 10 days of receipt of the appeal.

Step 12: After the cognizant senior vice president's final decision, the chair of the department or dean of college shall take appropriate action to implement the final decision.
TIME: At the conclusion of the appeals process.
MATTER IS CLOSED.

Appendix E

Faculty Profiles

Elaine Clark, Ph.D. is a Professor and Chair in the Department of Educational Psychology and adjunct Professor in the Departments of Psychology and Psychiatry at the U of U. Dr. Clark has extensive training and background in school, clinical, and neuropsychology. She has a Ph.D. in School Psychology from Michigan State University and a Ph.D. in Clinical Psychology (neuropsychology specialization) from Brigham Young University. Dr. Clark's primary research and teaching interest is in the area of severe low incidence disabilities, including traumatic brain injuries and autism. Dr. Clark serves as a consultant to the University Neuropsychiatric Institute, Primary Children's Medical Center, and the Children's Center. She is also a supervisor at the Department's Psychoeducational Clinic. Dr. Clark is an APA Fellow and Past-President of Division 16, member of the Society for the Study of School Psychology (SSSP), and serves on the board of the Brain Injury Association of Utah (BIAU). She is a past-president of Utah Association of School Psychology and Vice President of BIAU. Dr. Clark is also a past board member of the Council of Directors of School Psychology Programs (CDSPP). She has served on the editorial boards of SP journals (e.g., *School Psychology Quarterly (SPQ)* and *School Psychology Review (SPR)*) and has been a reviewer for numerous others (e.g., *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, *Archives of Neuropsychology*, *Applied Neuropsychology*, and *Consulting and Clinical Psychology*). Dr. Clark's typical teaching assignments include a Seminar in Pediatric Neuropsychology, Individual Child and Adolescent Assessment, and Field Practicum.

William R. Jenson, Ph.D. is a Professor in the department and member of the SP Program since 1983 and has held adjunct appointments in the Departments of Special Education and Psychiatry. Dr. Jenson graduated from Utah State University with a Ph.D. in Applied Behavior Analysis and School Psychology. He is a licensed psychologist, past-president of the Utah Psychological Association (UPA), Division 16 APA Fellow, and member of SSSP. Dr. Jenson has a national reputation for his expertise in behavior management, parent/teacher training, and autism spectrum disorders. He has published numerous articles and books, and is a frequent lecturer at state association and school district meetings across the state and country. Dr. Jenson currently teaches Childhood Psychopathology and Interventions in the Schools, and also conducts a year-long Research Seminar for entering doctoral students. Dr. Jenson has served on the editorial boards of the *SPR*, *SPQ*, *Journal of School Psychology*, *Journal of Emotional and Behavior Disorders*, and *School Psychology International*.

Daniel Olympia, Ph.D. is a graduate of the U of U's School Psychology Program. Prior to joining the SP faculty in 1999, he worked as a school psychologist for 17 years (most recently in the Jordan School District). Dr. Olympia, an Associate Professor in the department and Training Director for the School Psychology Program, is known for his extensive experience in school settings and his efforts and research in academic interventions, whole school positive behavior supports, and interventions with behaviorally disordered/emotionally disturbed children. Dr. Olympia is also an active member of editorial boards, including *SPR* and *SPQ*, and has served on Division 16 committees, including the Committee on Minority Affairs and the Dissertation of the Year Award Committee. He has also been a reviewer and site visit chair for the APA Committee on Accreditation. He currently teaches Cognitive Assessment, Professional Issues and Ethics, and Psychological and Educational Consultation and helps supervise students in the on-campus Psychoeducational Clinic. Dr. Olympia is a member of the Executive Board of the Council of Directors of School Psychology Programs and past president of the Trainers in School Psychology, past-president of UASP and is a member of their current legislative committee.

Janiece Pompa, Ph.D. is a Clinical Professor in the SP Program, a position she has held for over 15 years. She also has adjunct appointments in the Departments of Psychology and Psychiatry. Dr. Pompa is the Director of the Department's Psychoeducational Clinic and teaches the Clinic Practicum course, Child and Family Counseling, Neuropsychological Basis of Behavior, and Neuropsychological Assessment. Dr. Pompa's specialty is child and family interventions, learning disabilities, and neuropsychological assessment. She is a licensed psychologist and

licensed school psychologist. She has a Ph.D. in Child Clinical Psychology from Michigan State University. Dr. Pompa regularly consults with schools and treatment facilities across Utah and the nation involved in the assessment of adolescents with conduct disorders and children with disabilities. Dr. Pompa is widely known for her expertise in learning problems and diversity (e.g., bilingual, multicultural, and LGBT). Dr. Pompa has served as President of UPA, and serves on APA's Minority Task Force, and the advisory board of UASP. She is also past-treasurer of UASP and past-Chair of UPA's Private Practice Committee.

APPENDIX G: Publishing research



**Preparing Manuscripts for Publication
in Psychology Journals:
A Guide for New Authors**

**American Psychological Association
Washington, DC**

Copyright © 2010 by the American Psychological Association. All rights reserved. Except as permitted under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, no part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

A previous version of this paper was authored by Robert C. Calfee and Richard R. Valencia. The paper was revised extensively to reflect guidelines contained in the sixth edition of the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*.

Published by

American Psychological Association

750 First Street, NE

Washington, DC 20002-4242

www.apa.org

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	101
The Journal Publication Process.....	102
Submitting a Manuscript for Publication.....	102
The Peer Review Process.....	103
“Quick Read”.....	103
Actions Taken on a Manuscript.....	104
Characteristics of a Strong Manuscript.....	105
Substantive Aspects.....	106
Title and abstract.....	107
Introduction.....	107
Method.....	107
Results and discussion.....	107
Tables and figures.....	108
Ethical Considerations.....	109
Style.....	109
Converting One’s Dissertation Into a Journal Article.....	119
Deciding to Submit the Manuscript.....	111
Adapting a Dissertation for Publication.....	111
Brevity and focus.....	111
Evaluation of analyses.....	110
Interpretation of results.....	110
Writing style.....	111
Conclusion.....	111
References.....	112

Manuscript Preparation Guide

Introduction

This guide provides an overview of the process of preparing and submitting a scholarly manuscript for publication in a psychology journal. Drawing on the experiences of authors of scholarly writings, peer reviewers, and journal editors, we seek to demystify the publication process and to offer advice designed to improve a manuscript's prospects of publication. To exemplify the process, we describe specific publication procedures for journals of the American Psychological Association.

As anyone planning to submit a manuscript for publication is well aware, the process of conceptualizing testable research questions, reviewing the literature, conducting experiments, performing analyses, interpreting results, and, finally, writing a paper that effectively describes the study and communicates the findings involves large investments of time and energy. When one also considers the pressure to publish in academic settings; the high rejection rates of prestigious journals, APA journals being among these; and the waiting period for a publication decision, the stress that can accompany the process becomes readily understandable. Yet, the rewards of discovery and contribution to the literature of psychological science are substantial. In the research and writing process, scholars are likely to meet exciting challenges in developing their intellectual and creative potential. Through publication, authors have a unique opportunity to build on previous discoveries and add to the lore of science.

We therefore encourage new authors to take heart, recognizing that, like any worthwhile endeavor, developing skills in conducting research and writing scholarly manuscripts is a learning process. Those embarking on this journey need not feel alone but rather are encouraged to seek mentors and colleagues to help guide them in the genre of psychological science. It is in this spirit that the current guide was written.

We cover three areas of journal publication. First, we present an overview of the process, focusing on manuscript submission and peer review, affording readers a behind-the-scenes view of the ways in which a new manuscript might be approached by an editor or a reviewer. This is followed by a more detailed discussion of some characteristics of a strong manuscript, which are drawn alongside shortcomings that may detract from a manuscript's publication potential. Finally, because a new scholar's initial manuscript submission is often developed from the dissertation, we offer some suggestions for converting a dissertation into a journal article.

The Journal Publication Process

In this section, we provide an overview of journal publication from an editorial perspective. We consider the front end of the process, beginning with submission of a manuscript for journal publication and proceeding to consideration of the peer review process.

Submitting a Manuscript for Publication

The selection of the journal to which one's manuscript will be submitted is an important one. A manuscript of more specific, local interest may be better suited to a more specialized journal, whereas one with broad interest across subdisciplines may reach a wider audience in a journal with a more generalist approach, such as *Psychological Bulletin* or the *American Psychologist*.

A key criterion in publication decisions is the manuscript's fit for the particular journal and the readership of that journal. Colleagues and mentors in one's field are likely to be well versed in the types of manuscripts published by various journals in the field and can serve as additional resources in making the selection.

One may also wish to consider the quality and reputation of the journal. Both the journal's impact factor (a measure of how frequently its articles are cited in other journals) and its rejection rate provide indices of its quality. For APA journals, impact factors are listed in the current Periodicals Catalog of the Journals Program of the American Psychological Association (www.apa.org), and rejection rates are published annually in the archival (August) issue of the *American Psychologist*. As ethical guidelines prohibit submission of a manuscript elsewhere while it is under consideration for a particular journal, timeliness considerations may also guide one's choice.

Manuscripts for APA journals are to be submitted according to the "APA Journals Manuscript Instructions for All Authors" on the APA website as well as the specific Instructions to Authors for the journal of interest, which are published in the individual journals and also posted on the APA website. An online manuscript portal, the Journals Back Office (www.jbo.com), facilitates the process of submission, allowing authors to upload their manuscripts in a few steps through a common online entry point.

General guidelines for preparing the manuscript for submission are summarized in the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.; APA, 2010, pp. 228–231). Authors may also find the document "Checklist for Manuscript Submission" on the APA website helpful for preparing manuscripts for APA journals.

On receipt, the journal editor may give the manuscript a preliminary read to ensure that it generally adheres to APA Style, that the content is within the purview of the journal, and that the type of article (e.g., empirical study, theoretical review) is appropriate for the journal. If a manuscript is clearly inappropriate, the editor informs the author. Otherwise, the author can expect the manuscript to undergo peer review. The review process can vary in length, but authors can anticipate a response regarding the publication decision within 2–3 months.

The Peer Review Process

Fundamental to progress in science is its nature as shared knowledge and understanding about the world. In the words of Hengl and Gould (2006), “the core goal of any scientific work is to make discoveries and explain them” (p. 3). Much of this communication occurs through the exchange of ideas and findings in scholarly publications. Essential to this constructive, communicative process is that scientists understand and work within the social conventions of their respective disciplines (Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy; National Academy of Sciences; National Academy of Engineering; & Institute of Medicine, 1995).

A key convention in the publication of research is the peer review process, in which the quality and potential contribution of each manuscript is evaluated by one’s peers in the scientific community. Like other scientific journals, APA journals routinely utilize a peer review process to guide manuscript selection and publication decisions. Toward the goal of impartiality, the majority of APA journals follow an established masked review policy, in which authors’ and reviewers’ identities are concealed from each other.

APA journal reviewers are scholars selected by the action editor (typically, the journal editor or associate editor) to review a manuscript on the basis of their expertise in particular content areas of their field. To enhance objectivity, two to three peer reviewers typically are selected to evaluate a manuscript. In addition to technical expertise, criteria for selection of reviewers may include familiarity with a particular controversy or attention to a balance of perspectives (APA, 2010, p. 226). Whereas the journal editor holds final responsibility for a manuscript, the editor usually weights reviewers’ inputs heavily.

Authors can expect their manuscripts to be reviewed fairly, in a skilled, conscientious manner. Reviewers are held to demanding standards: They must (a) present a clear decision regarding publication, considering the quality of the manuscript, its scientific contribution, and its appropriateness for the particular journal; (b) support the recommendation with a detailed, comprehensive analysis of the quality and coherence of the study’s conceptual basis, methods, results, and interpretations; and (c) offer specific, constructive suggestions to authors.

“Quick Read”

After reviews are in hand but before considering the reviews in detail, the decision editor (either the editor or associate editor) scans the paper to gain an independent view of the work. This “quick read” provides a foundation for the more thorough reading that follows—it by no means determines the final decision. On the other hand, it probably parallels how authors can expect many reviewers (and readers) to approach their papers. First, the editor scans the paper from beginning to end for obvious flaws in the research substance and writing style. If problems show on the surface, a deeper reading is likely to uncover other matters needing attention. The quick-read process is relatively simple. In the initial examination of your manuscript, the editor or associate editor will follow these general guidelines:

Read the abstract. The editor thinks about the following questions: What is the sense of the research question, methodology, findings, and interpretations? Major problems in the abstract often reflect internal flaws. The major goal in reading the abstract is to understand the research question. Is it clearly defined, relevant, and supported by the methodology? APA publication policy emphasizes *conclusion-oriented* abstracts: What did the research find, and what do the findings mean?

Examine the full manuscript. If it is more than 35 typed, double-spaced pages (including references, tables, and figures), this could pose a problem for some journals. How long are the introduction and the Discussion section relative to other sections of the paper?

Scan the paper’s headings. Are they well organized? Does a clear structure emerge? If not, the author has not achieved coherence.

Scan the references. Are they in APA Style? If not, the author is not using APA publication format.

Scan the tables and figures. Do they portray the information clearly? Can they stand alone without captions? Are they well constructed and in APA Style? A “no” to any of these questions suggests problems in the author’s presentation of findings. If the text contains a large number of statistics, could they be more appropriately put into tables or figures?

Finish the quick read by reading a page or two from each section of the paper. How often does the red pen jump into the mental fingers? Do problems result from sloppiness or something deeper? Are there long paragraphs (more than a page) and sentences (more than three lines)? Does the author communicate skillfully? Writing problems can signal more serious shortcomings.

The quick read leads to an initial impression of the care with which a manuscript has been prepared. Weaknesses do not necessarily speak to the quality of the research, but they do reflect barriers to understanding the work and give a sense of the paper’s quality and suitability for publication. Authors preparing their own papers should ask themselves questions like those listed above.

Actions Taken on a Manuscript

After completing a quick read, the decision editor scrutinizes the manuscript and the reviews. The following categories constitute the editorial actions that may be taken on a manuscript:

Rejection. The flaws that lead to this decision generally center on substantive or methodological issues. A manuscript is usually rejected because (a) it is outside the area of coverage of the journal; (b) it contains serious flaws of design, methodology, analysis, or interpretation; or (c) it is judged to make only a limited novel contribution to the field. Below, we further discuss problems that may increase the probability of rejection.

Rejection with invitation to revise and resubmit. In some cases, manuscripts may have publication potential but are not yet ready for final publication. The study as presented may not merit acceptance as is but may warrant consideration after substantive revision (e.g., reorganizing the conceptual structure, conducting additional experiments, or modifying analyses). The action editor will give the author an invitation to revise and resubmit for another round of reviews (usually with the same reviewers). An action editor cannot guarantee acceptance of a revised manuscript, but authors who respond flexibly and attend closely to suggested revisions enhance their chances for an acceptance. Authors are advised to include a detailed cover letter outlining their responses to the revisions.

Acceptance. In very few cases, a manuscript may be accepted for publication on first reading, with only minor revisions required. More typically, acceptances follow the successful revision of a manuscript previously rejected with invitation to revise and resubmit. Once a manuscript is accepted, it enters the production phase of publication. At this point, no further changes can be made by the author other than those suggested by the copyeditor.

New scholars who wish to learn more about the editorial and peer review process as it operates with APA journals are referred to “The Publication Process” (Chapter 8 of the *Publication Manual*; APA, 2010; see also Eichorn & VandenBos, 1985).

Characteristics of a Strong Manuscript

Before describing the characteristics of a good manuscript, we turn briefly to problems associated with a poor one. Bartol (1983, cited in Eichorn & VandenBos, 1985) identified chief problems as the following:

inadequate review of the literature,
inappropriate citations,
unclear introduction,
ambiguous research questions,
inadequately described sample,
insufficient methodology,
incompletely described measures,
unclear statistical analysis,
inappropriate statistical techniques,
poor conceptualization of discussion,
discussion that goes beyond the data,
poor writing style, and
excessive length.

Sternberg (1988) gave a list of misconceptions about research manuscripts, which may help new authors avoid common pitfalls.

Beyond the more serious shortcomings highlighted above, Kupfersmid and Wonderly (1994) have drawn attention to the problems of the lack of relevancy and scientific contribution of a number of articles that are, in fact, published in professional journals. Clearly, creating a strong empirical or review manuscript that contributes to scientific knowledge requires thought and planning at each stage of the research and writing process.

Below we highlight features of substance and style that pertain to the quality of the manuscript and have bearing on its evaluation in the editorial review process. Throughout we refer to relevant sections of the *Publication Manual* (APA, 2010). The manual picks up where this guide leaves off, providing authors with a rich source of information on both substantive concerns and APA Style, which is well established as the gold standard in editorial style for a wide range of disciplines in addition to psychology.

Substantive Aspects

Central to the quality of an empirical research paper or literature review is its substantive core—that is, the research questions that are posed; the ways in which they are conceptualized; and the methodological soundness with which they are studied, assessed, and interpreted. From this perspective, we consider, in turn, various sections of the manuscript and refer the interested reader to more extensive description of the qualities of a strong research paper in the *Publication Manual* (APA, 2010; see also Bem, 2004; Hengl & Gould, 2006; Kupfersmid & Wonderly, 1994; Sternberg, 1988).

Title and abstract. The title and the abstract are key elements that inform the reader of the contents of the manuscript and, as a rule, are the parts of the manuscript that gain the widest exposure. Haggan (2003) observed a trend toward increasing informativeness of titles and referred to them as “texts in miniature,” which in this fast-paced world of information overload “must add to the reader’s mental representation of the world” (p. 312). Given the title’s prominence, we encourage authors to exercise thought and creativity in selecting a title that will capture the reader’s attention and clearly inform the reader of the contents within.

Similarly, the abstract is read by far more readers than is the average article. The abstract serves important purposes in summarizing the hypotheses, design, and findings of the study and in representing the article in indexing databases. Readers frequently decide whether to delve further into an article on the basis of the abstract. Thus, a well-written abstract that conveys the research questions and findings succinctly can entice readers to learn more. It is not an understatement to say that “a well-prepared abstract can be the most important single paragraph in an article” (APA, 2010, p. 26).

Some journals use structured abstracts, in which participants, methods, results, and conclusions are set off in separate sections. Regardless of whether these elements are formally set off, authors should include these aspects of the study and seek to provide the information accurately and coherently and in a nonevaluative manner.

Introduction. A strong introduction engages the reader in the problem of interest and provides a context for the study at hand. In introducing the research concern, the writer should provide a clear rationale for why the problem deserves new research, placing the study in the context of current knowledge and prior theoretical and empirical work on the topic. Responsible scholarship stipulates that the writer properly credit the work of others. Whereas it is impractical to exhaustively describe all prior research, the most current and relevant studies should be cited. Swales and Feak (2004) identified four cornerstones of the introduction in a research paper, advising authors

- to establish current knowledge of the field;
- to summarize previous research, providing the wider context and background and the importance of the current study;
- to set the stage for the present research, indicating gaps in knowledge and presenting the research question; and
- to introduce present research, stating its purpose and outlining its design.

Within this framework, the writer states the hypotheses of the current study and their correspondence to the research design (APA, 2010, pp. 27–28).

Method. In both quantitative and qualitative research, the use of appropriate methods of participant sampling, study design, measures, and statistical analysis critically influences the study’s methodological soundness. Calfee and Valencia (2007) suggested that good methodology can be described by the two “Cs”—clean and clear. The soundness of the study hinges on *clean* methodology, that is, use of appropriate, valid, and unflawed methods of sampling and use of instruments, procedures, and analysis. In a clean study, Calfee and Valencia (2007) noted that the researcher ensures that

- sample variables are free of confounding influences (e.g., education is controlled for),
- recruitment and sampling techniques are appropriate,
- measures are reliable and valid for assessing the variables of interest, and
- the statistical procedures are appropriate and sufficiently sophisticated to examine the data and are carried out appropriately.

The ideal Method section is written in a *clear* manner, such that another researcher could duplicate the study. Toward this end, the writer should provide a thorough description of methods of recruitment, participant characteristics, measures and apparatus, and procedures. Recruitment methods and effects of attrition should be articulated. The writer should take care to thoroughly describe the sample with regard to demographic characteristics, including notation of any characteristics that may have bearing on the results (e.g., socioeconomic status). This information assists the reader in understanding the characterization of the current sample and the degree to which results may be generalizable. Measures should be appropriately referenced, including notation of their reliability and validity, and any adaptations to their customary use should be noted. In a clear study, the author explicates the research design and plan for analysis, noting whether conditions were manipulated or naturalistic, whether groups were randomly assigned, and whether the design explored variables within or between participants (APA, 2010).

Results and discussion. The Results section should include a summary of the collected data and analyses, which follows from the analytic plan. All results should be described, including unexpected findings. Authors should include both descriptive statistics and tests of significance. The *Publication Manual* provides information on tests of significance, including null hypothesis testing, effect sizes, confidence intervals, inferential statistics, and supplementary analyses.

In the Discussion section, the writer evaluates and interprets the findings. This section should begin with a statement of support or nonsupport for the original hypotheses in light of the findings. If the hypotheses were not supported, the author considers post hoc explanations. In interpreting the results, authors consider sources of bias and other threats to internal validity, imprecision of measures, overall number of tests or overlap among tests, effect sizes, and other weaknesses of the study (APA, 2010, p. 35).

Limitations and a discussion of the importance of the findings should conclude the discussion. Providing a link to future research, the author may offer recommendations for further study. More specific recommendations are more useful. As Skelton (1994) observed, researchers too often end their papers with a recommendation that is “too imprecise to be operationalized, or too grand to be implemented by a decision at much lower than a ministerial level” (p. 459).

Tables and figures. Tables and figures are particularly valuable for conveying large amounts of information and for showing relationships among data. The expanding development of advanced tools for graphic display provides authors with greater flexibility and capability for illustrating their results. Such tools can convey information in visually engaging ways that facilitate the reader's understanding of comparisons and evaluations of change over time. Authors should avoid duplicate reporting of data but instead should decide on the most comprehensible ways of presenting the information, whether it is through text or through tabular or graphic form.

Good tables and figures should be structured according to APA Style and be clear and self-explanatory so that, with their captions, they can stand apart from the text. In addition to Chapter 5 of the *Publication Manual* on displaying results, the interested writer may wish to consult the APA publication, *Displaying Your Findings* (Nicol & Pexman, 2010), as well as the article on this topic published in the *American Psychologist* (Smith, Best, Stubbs, Archibald, & Roberson-Nay, 2002).

Ethical Considerations

In planning for and conducting a study, researchers should consult the “Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct” (APA, 2002) as well as the ethical guidelines of the institution where the research was conducted. The APA Ethics Code requires that researchers ensure approval by relevant institutional review boards and obtain informed consent from all participants. Fulfillment of these requirements should be noted in the Method section. Researchers should take care to exercise proper conduct in administering measures and carrying out experiments with participants. When applicable, participants should be thoroughly debriefed, and such procedures should be indicated in the manuscript.

Style

Style in scholarly manuscripts can refer to various aspects of the writing technique. Here, we highlight *editorial style* and *writing style*. Authors preparing a manuscript for submission will want to attend closely to APA editorial style, the mechanics of convention laid out in the *Publication Manual*—the decisive resource for capitalization, italics, abbreviations, heading structure, and so forth. The *Publication Manual* also includes guidance on avoiding bias in language, which is particularly important in demonstrating sensitivity to such concerns as participants' mental illness and cultural background.

A strong manuscript will demonstrate the author's command of writing style in the academic genre of a research article. Tardy and Swales (2008) characterized writing genres in the following way:

Written texts are known to have culturally preferred shapes that structure their overall organization and influence their internal patterning. These shaping forces, at both general and local levels, are neither incidental nor accidental; rather, they exist to provide orientations for both readers and writers. (p. 565).

Learning the language of the genre will contribute to the production of a technically sound, well-written manuscript. In the case of an empirical research article, perhaps the most apparent feature is its standard structure, which follows some variation on the format of Introduction–Method–Results–Discussion. Beyond this organizational frame, however, there are a number of major and more subtle features that characterize the empirical research article.

A good research article hinges on its coherence and organization. These aspects of the article are influenced by the ways in which the study evolves from the data. Whereas a typical psychology research article will follow a standard framework of ordered sections, as noted above, a coherent article is not usually written in the order of these sections but instead develops from the data analyses. As expressed by Bem (2004) in his chapter on the empirical research article,

There are two possible articles you can write: (a) the article you planned to write when you designed your study or (b) the article that makes the most sense now that you have seen the results. They are rarely the same, and the correct answer is (b). (p. 186)

Although the research paper should be guided outward from the hypotheses and resulting data, the paper should be guided by ideas and one's point of view. As stated by Sternberg (1988), "Facts are presented in service of ideas: to help elucidate, support, or refute these ideas. They provide a test against which the validity of ideas can be measured" (p. 4). Along these lines, an organizing principle of strong research papers is to convey central features first, followed by more peripheral or less important aspects (Bem, 2004).

Whereas selectivity in presentation is important, it is crucial to present facts objectively, both those that refute and those that support one's position. "Scientists demand that scientific reporting be scrupulously honest. Without such honesty, scientific communication would collapse" (Sternberg, 1988, p. 5).

Additional suggestions for writing accurate, clear, and concise research articles are provided in Chapter 3 of the *Publication Manual*, which discusses continuity and transitions, tone, precision, word choice, and grammatical principles. Another source of useful information is the APA Style website (www.apastyle.org).

Converting One's Dissertation Into a Journal Article

Beginning scholars will often choose to develop a journal article from a doctoral dissertation (or master's thesis) as an initial submission for publication. In this section, we first provide some considerations regarding the status of the dissertation with regard to its potential for publication. We then offer suggestions for converting the dissertation into a publishable manuscript. Often this involves reducing a document of over 100 pages to perhaps one third its original length. In particular, we highlight the following features most likely to distinguish the two types of documents: brevity, extent of literature review, data analyses, writing style, and interpretation of results.

Deciding to Submit the Manuscript

First, the writer will want to consider whether the study merits publication in a journal article—specifically whether the findings tell a compelling story or answer important questions and whether the research makes a novel contribution to the literature. If the study is deemed worthy of publication, consideration should be given to such issues as whether all of the original research questions should be included in the present study and whether the results warrant additional experiments that could assist in answering the research questions more fully.

The author may also want to consider such factors as whether the current sample size provides sufficient power to merit publication and whether additional analyses might clarify ambiguous findings. Consultation with colleagues can help the author evaluate the status of the manuscript and its potential for publication as well as the selection of an appropriate journal to which to submit one's manuscript.

Adapting a Dissertation for Publication

Once a decision is made to convert a dissertation into an article, the author will want to focus attention on adapting the manuscript to an empirical article (or literature review) for publication. By attending to brevity and focus, relevant data analyses, appropriate interpretation of results, and writing style, authors can enhance the fit of a manuscript for journal publication. Editors and reviewers readily recognize an article that has been hastily converted from a dissertation. Whereas most reviewers are generous with their time and knowledge in guiding a new colleague through the publication maze, greater effort on the part of the author to make these adjustments at the front end is likely to increase the manuscript's potential for serious consideration.

Brevity and focus. Throughout a manuscript to be considered for journal publication, brevity is an important consideration, particularly in the Introduction and Discussion sections. In a dissertation, the writer's task is to demonstrate breadth of knowledge on a topic as well as the skills to fully explore the research problem under consideration. In contrast, an empirical article must maintain a clear focus. The abstract may need to be condensed to meet the length requirements of the journal. Whereas *Dissertation Abstracts International* accepts abstracts of up to

350 words, journal abstract requirements are likely to be more limited. For most APA journals, the maximum length is 250 words.

One of the major challenges in the dissertation's transformation is that of paring the more comprehensive literature review characteristic of a dissertation to a more succinct one suitable for the introduction of a journal article. The writer's task is one of selectivity, in which he or she takes care to preserve the relevant substance while omitting extraneous material. The writer will want to edit the text to material relating to the more immediate context of the research questions.

Selection of sources is similarly important. Given the rapidly expanding literature, it is generally impractical to exhaustively review prior research in a journal article. The author should nonetheless take care to reference the most relevant and current studies and avoid omitting key studies pertinent to the research problem. Citation of reviews and meta-analyses can guide the interested reader to the broader literature while providing an economical way of referencing prior studies. Depending on the timing of rewriting, the author should review the most recent literature to avoid overlooking relevant studies that may have been published since the writing of the dissertation.

Evaluation of analyses. The researcher should be selective in choosing analyses for inclusion in the journal article. An unbiased approach is important to avoid omitting study data. However, reporting every analysis that may have been run for the dissertation often is not feasible, appropriate, or useful in the limited space of a journal article. Instead, analyses that directly address the research questions should be retained and more supplemental analyses excluded. Prior to submitting the manuscript, the researcher may also wish to consider whether the existing data would be better explained by additional or more sophisticated analyses. Sternberg (1988) noted that the Results section should be organized so that the most important results are listed first, followed by results of secondary or post hoc analyses.

Interpretation of results. In writing the Discussion, researchers should focus on interpreting the results in light of the research questions. In particular, Calfee and Valencia (2007) advised new authors to be aware of tendencies to overinterpret their data. Taking into account sample size and composition, effect size, limitations of measurement, and other specific considerations of the study is important to avoid extrapolating beyond the data.

A strong Discussion section notes areas of consensus with and divergence from previous work. New authors should make particular efforts to attend to connections with existing literature. Such attunement strengthens the communicative function of the research article within the framework of the broader scientific literature.

Writing style. New scholars are advised to familiarize themselves with the details of APA Style. In addition to the general considerations on style noted above, two points are worth highlighting here. First, a manuscript that closely follows APA Style guidelines is likely to make a more seamless presentation, with fewer features to distract the reviewer from the content of the paper. Second, in some cases, there are differences between formatting requirements specific to one's university or dissertation publishing services and journal style requirements. Areas of difference can involve tables and figures, organization of sections, and reference lists. For example, theses and dissertations may include bibliographies, which list additional sources beyond those included in the reference list. In such cases, the reference list will need to be edited to include only those references cited in the submitted manuscript.

Conclusion

Although conducting research and writing publishable articles invokes challenges that involve considerable investments of time and energy, intellectual rigor, and fortitude, we encourage new scholars and researchers to take the progressive steps of developing their manuscripts for submission to psychology journals. Bringing to fruition the hard work of one's research and sharing one's findings with the scientific community can bring personal rewards. Beyond such rewards, it is through the continued communication of theoretical developments, carefully planned and executed research, and discovery that the field of psychological science and application can advance.

References

- American Psychological Association. (2002). Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct. *American Psychologist*, *57*, 1060–1073. doi:10.1037//0003-066X.57.12.1060
- American Psychological Association. (2010). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Bartol, K. M. (1983). Manuscript faults and review board recommendations: Lethal and nonlethal errors. In American Psychological Association, Committee on Women in Psychology and Women's Programs Office, *Understanding the manuscript review process: Increasing the participation of women* (pp. 29–45). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Bem, D. J. (2004). Writing the empirical journal article. In J. M. Darley, M. P. Zanna, & H. L. Roediger III (Eds.), *The compleat academic* (2nd ed., pp. 185–219). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Calfee, R. C., & Valencia, R. R. (2007). *APA guide to preparing manuscripts for journal publication* (Revised) [Technical guide]. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association. (Original work published 1985)
- Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy; National Academy of Sciences; National Academy of Engineering; & Institute of Medicine. (1995). *On being a scientist: Responsible conduct in research*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Eichorn, D. H., & VandenBos, G. R. (1985). Dissemination of scientific and professional knowledge: Journal publication within the APA. *American Psychologist*, *40*, 1309–1316. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.40.12.1309
- Haggan, M. (2003). Research paper titles in literature, linguistics and science: Dimensions of attraction. *Journal of Pragmatics*, *36*, 293–317. doi:10.1016/S0378-2166(03)00090-0
- Hengl, T., & Gould, M. (2006). *The unofficial guide for authors (or how to produce research articles worth citing)*. Luxemburg, Belgium: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
- Kupfersmid, J., & Wonderly, D. M. (1994). *An author's guide to publishing better articles in better journals in the behavioral sciences*. Brandon, VT: Clinical Psychology Publishing.
- Nicol, A. M., & Pexman, P. M. (2010). *Displaying your findings: A practical guide for creating figures, posters, and presentations* (6th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Skelton, J. (1994). Analysis of the structure of original research papers: An aid to writing original papers for publication. *British Journal of General Practice*, *44*, 455–459.
- Smith, L. D., Best, L. A., Stubbs, D. A., Archibald, A. B., & Roberson-Nay, R. (2002). Constructing knowledge: The role of graphs and tables in hard and soft psychology. *American Psychologist*, *57*, 749–761. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.57.10.749
- Sternberg, R. S. (1988). *The psychologist's companion: A guide to scientific writing for students and researchers* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (2004). *Commentary for academic writing for graduate students: Essential tasks and skills* (2nd ed.). Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press/ESL.
- Tardy, C. M., & Swales, J. M. (2008). Form, text, organization, genre, coherence, and cohesion. In C. Bazerman (Ed.), *Handbook of research in writing: History, society, school, individual, text* (pp. 565–581). New York, NY: Erlbaum.

**Appendix H:
University of Utah**

School Psychology Portfolio Completion Checklist and Summative Evaluation

Student Name: _____

Evaluation	Required Component
	1. Current vita
	2. Syllabi from courses
	3. Transcripts of grades
	4. Annual reviews
	5. Clinic practicum logs
	6. Field practicum logs
	7. Internship contract
	8. Internship logs
	9. Clinic practicum evaluations
	10. Field practicum evaluations
	11. Internship evaluations
	12. Supervisory Committee form – Masters
	13. Program of Study form – Masters
	14. Supervisory Committee form – Doctoral
	15. Program of Study form – Doctoral
	16. IRB proposal – Thesis
	17. Thesis research (proposal or finished thesis)
	18. IRB proposal – Dissertation
	19. Dissertation research (proposal or finished dissertation)
	20. Professional paper submissions
	21. Conference presentations
	22. Honors and awards
	23. Sample reports (identifying information removed)
	24. *Doctoral preliminary exam results
	25. *Proof of completed Master’s thesis or project
	26. *Thesis or dissertation research article
	27. *Teaching evaluations (if appropriate)
	28. Index
	29. <i>Organization</i>
	30. <i>Professional Appearance</i>

The following scale was used to evaluate the graduate student’s completion of required portfolio components and proficiency in each applicable area as indicated by the following:

1. Inadequate: No opportunity to evaluate the student in this area (component missing) or inadequate performance (requires remediation).
2. Acceptable: Skilled and proficient, with demonstrated ability to function independently.
3. Exceptional: Highly skilled and proficient; professional skill level.

I have reviewed all of the products in this portfolio. This work reflects exceptional / adequate / inadequate progress for this point in training. The work reflected in this portfolio provides / fails to provide evidence that that student is adequately prepared to assume the responsibilities of a school psychology intern / school psychologist.

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Appendix F: Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct for Psychologists